RECORD OF DECISION

FOR

EARLY INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION

AT

THE LHAAP 12 AND 16 LANDFILLS

LONGHORN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

KARNACK, TEXAS

SEPTEMBER 1995

015680

LHAAP 12 AND 16 LANDFILLS LONGHORN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT RECORD OF DECISION

EARLY INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION

SEPTEMBER 1995

A. SITE NAME AND LOCATION

LHAAP 12 and 16 Landfills, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Karnack, Texas

B. STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

This decision document presents the selected Early Interim Remedial Action for the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant (LHAAP), in Karnack, Texas. This selection is made in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA) and, to the extent practicable, the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). This decision is based on the administrative record for this site.

The State of Texas concurs with the selected remedy. A copy of the concurrence letter is attached.

C. ASSESSMENT OF THE SITES

Actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances from these sites, if not addressed by implementing the response action selected in this Record of Decision (ROD), may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health, welfare, or the environment.

D. <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE SELECTED REMEDY</u>

The Record of Decision for the sites addresses an Early Interim Remedial Action. This Early Interim Remedial Action is necessary to mitigate potential risks posed by buried source material at the sites. The selected remedy addresses the risks associated with the landfill contents at the

sites by reducing further migration of contaminants into the ground water and possibly into surface water bodies.

The selected remedy consists of a multilayer landfill cap section which includes the following components:

- Foundation soil layer,
- Sodium Bentonite Geocomposite Liner,
- Geosynthetic Membrane Liner,
- Final soil cover, and
- Perimeter berms and drainage swales.

E. STATUTORY DETERMINATION

This Early Interim Remedial Action is protective of human health and the environment, complies with Federal and State applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements for this limited-scope action, and is cost effective. This Early Interim Remedial Action is not intended to address the statutory mandate for permanence and treatment to the maximum extent practicable.

Because this action does not constitute the final remedy for these sites, the statutory preference for remedies that employ treatment that reduces toxicity, mobility, or volume as a principal element will be addressed by the final response action. Subsequent actions are planned to address fully the threats posed by the conditions at these sites.

Because this remedy will result in hazardous substances remaining on site above health-based levels, a review will be conducted to ensure that the remedy continues to provide adequate protection of human health and the environment within five years after initiation of this remedial action. Because this is an Early Interim Remedial Action, review of these sites and this remedy will continue. The development of final remedial alternatives for these sites will be forthcoming.

Jane N. Saginaw

Regional Administrator

EPA Region 6

Signature sheet for the foregoing LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills Early Interim Remedial Action Record of Decision between the Department of the Army and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, with concurrence by the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission.

Mr. Raymond J. Fatz

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health)

OASA (I, L, & E)

Darrel Chinn

Captain, U.S. Army Executive Officer

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

SEP 18 1995

Date

8/3/95

Date

Record of Decision Early Interim Remedial Action LHAAP 12 and 16 Landfills

Lisa Marie Price ROD Peer Review

Acting Superfund Texas Section Chief (6SF-AT)

Bill Honker
AK/OK/TX Superfund Branch Chief (6SF-A)

Michael C. Barra Litigation and Enforcement Branch (6SF-DL)

Mark Peycke / Litigation and Enforcement Branch (6SF-DL)

Myron O. Knudson
Superfund Division Director (SF)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Α.	Location and Description	
В.	Site History and Enforcement Activities	
C.	Highlights of Community Participation	,
D.	Scope and Role of Response Action	
E.	Summary of Site Characteristics	
F.	Summary of Site Risks	14
G.	Description of the Alternatives	15
H.	Summary of the Comparative Analysis of Alternatives	18
	Criteria	18
	Analysis	19
·	Overall Protection of Public Health and	1.7
	the Environment	19
	Compliance with Applicable or Relevant and	17
	Appropriate Requirements	19
	Long-Term Effectiveness or Permanence	19
	Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume	
	of Contaminants	21
	Short-Term Effectiveness	21
	Implementability	21
	Cost	22
	State Acceptance	22
_	Community Acceptance	22
I.	The Selected Remedy	22
J.	Statutory Determination	23
	Protection of Human Health and the Environment	23
	Compliance with Applicable or Relevant and	
	Appropriate Requirements	24
	Action-Specific ARARS	24
	Chemical-Specific ARARS	24
	Location-Specific ARARS	24
	Cost Effectiveness	25
	Utilization of Permanent Solutions and Alternative	
	Treatment Technologies or Resource Recovery	
	Technologies to the Maximum Extent Practicable	25
K.	Preference for Treatment as a Principal Element	25
ĸ.	Documentation of Significant Changes	25
APP	ENDIX A, The State of Texas, Letter of Concurrence ENDIX B, Responsiveness Summary	A-1
APP	B-1	
APP	ENDIX C, Index of Administrative Record	C-1

DECISION SUMMARY

A. Location and Description

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant (LHAAP) is located in central east Texas in the northeast corner of Harrison County, approximately 14 miles northeast of Marshall, Texas, and approximately 40 miles west of Shreveport, Louisiana, as shown on Figure 1. The installation occupies 8,493 acres between State Highway 43 and the western shore of Caddo Lake and is accessed by State Highways 43 and 134. The LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills are in a remote location of LHAAP and access is restricted.

The LHAAP 12 landfill encompasses approximately 7 acres and is located approximately 1,700 feet east-northeast of the intersection of Avenue P and Avenue Q, as shown on Figure 2. The entrance to the site is a gravel road off Avenue Q. The site is an open area of grass bounded by heavy timber. A tributary of Central Creek flows along the eastern, northeastern, and northern edges of the landfill.


The LHAAP 16 landfill encompasses approximately 20 acres and is located in the east central quadrant of LHAAP, as shown on Figure 2. The landfill is a sloped, open area of grass bounded along the west and north by a gravel road and along the east and south edges by heavy timber. Also, the east and southeast edges of the LHAAP 16 landfill are bounded by the Harrison Bayou. The southeastern edge of the landfill is in the 100-year flood plain.

LHAAP is situated on gently rolling land with an average slope of 3 percent towards the northeast. Most of the terrain slopes 3 percent or less, but slopes as steep as 12 percent are common in the western and northwestern portions of the installation and along the Harrison Bayou floodplain. Elevations on LHAAP vary from 335 to 170 feet above mean sea level (msl).

The topography of the LHAAP 12 landfill is flat to gently sloping in the central portions of the landfill and more steeply sloping along the eastern and northern edges of the landfill. The topography of the site has been greatly altered by the construction and operation of a diversion ditch from 1954 to 1963 and the subsequent operation of the landfill for approximately 30 years.

The topography of the LHAAP 16 landfill slopes gently to the east and southeast over the central portion of the landfill and slopes more steeply along the eastern and southeastern edges of the landfill. The topography of the site was raised approximately 5 to 15 feet by the operation of the landfill over a 40-year period.

LHAAP is located in a region commonly called the Pineywoods, a deep inland extension of the Gulf Coastal Plain that extends into Texas, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Oklahoma. The area is characterized by mixed pine hardwood forests that cover gently rolling to hilly terrain. Mild temperatures and ample rainfall in east Texas provide excellent conditions to support an abundant and diverse plant community. This, in turn, provides a number of niches for a rich faunal






US Army Corps of Engineers Tulsa District REGIONAL LOCATION MAP

LONGHORN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

KARNACK, TEXAS

F I GURE NUMBER

1



community. LHAAP is forested with loblolly and shortleaf pines, a variety of oaks, sweet gum, black tupelo, ash, bald cypress, and a few scattered willows. Pines predominate throughout the installation. Both LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills are cleared areas within a heavily wooded section of LHAAP.

Caddo Lake, Caddo Lake State Park, and the small unincorporated town of Karnack border LHAAP. Other surrounding land is forested, with an oil and natural gas field located to the east of LHAAP along the Louisiana border. Caddo Lake State Park is located approximately 2.8 miles west-northwest of the installation. Other recreational facilities and nearby lake shore communities are within 5 miles. The town of Uncertain is 1.9 miles north of LHAAP.

All surface water from LHAAP drains into Caddo Lake via four drainage systems that cross portions of the installation, as shown on Figure 3. These systems are known as Saunder's Branch, Harrison Bayou, Central Creek, and Goose Prairie Bayou.


The surface drainage at the LHAAP 12 landfill flows to the north, east, and southeast. The surface drainage follows natural and manmade ditches and drainage swales which flow into a nearby tributary of Central Creek. Central Creek eventually flows into Caddo Lake which is located approximately 2 miles downstream of the site.

The surface drainage at the LHAAP 16 landfill flows to the east and southeast. The surface drainage follows the manmade surface slopes of the landfill and flows into the floodplain area of Harrison Bayou. The eastern and southeastern edges of the landfill are located within the 100-year floodplain of the bayou. Harrison Bayou eventually flows into Caddo Lake which is located approximately 1-1/3 miles downstream of the site.

LHAAP, including the Site 12 and 16 landfills, is situated on an outcrop of the Wilcox Group, which crops out over a large part of the eastern half of Harrison County. The Wilcox consists mostly of fine- to medium-grained sands interbedded with a considerable amount of clay and seams of lignite. The Wilcox Group is underlain conformably by the predominatly calcareous clay of the Midway Group. Regional dip of the Wilcox is to the northwest into the East Texas Syncline, while the ground surface generally dips to the southeast.

The Wilcox Group has been identified by the Texas Water Development Board as the basal unit of the regional Cypress aquifer, also known as the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer. The Cypress aquifer outcrops over most of Harrison County and is comprised of, in ascending order, the Wilcox Group, the Carrizo Sand, the Reklaw Formation, and the Queen City Sand. All units are believed to be hydraulically connected. All of these units dip to the northwest into the East Texas Syncline.

The availability of ground water in Harrison County is largely dependent on the hydrologic characteristics of the units comprising the Cypress aquifer. The Wilcox Group outcropping in the area of LHAAP yields small (less than 50 gallons per minute (gpm) to moderate (50-500).



gpm) quantities of fresh water to wells throughout the county. As the basal unit of the Cypress aquifer, the Wilcox is also considered as the base of fresh water in the area. The Midway Group, which does not yield usable quantities of water, tends to serve as a relatively impermeable basement to the overlying water-bearing Wilcox. The elevation of the top of the Midway Group will be determined for both sites during the Phase II investigation.

B. Site History and Enforcement Activities

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant is a government-owned, contractor-operated (Longhorn Division of Thiokol Corporation) industrial facility under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Armaments, Munitions, and Chemical Command. Its primary mission is to load, assemble, and pack pyrotechnic and illuminating/signal ammunition and solid propellant rocket motors.

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant was established in October 1942 with the primary mission of producing trinitrotoluene (TNT) flake in the Plant 1 area. Production of TNT continued through World War II until August 1945 when the plant went on standby status until February 1952. Pyrotechnic ammunition, such as photoflash bombs, simulators, hand signals, and tracers for 40mm ammunition were manufactured at LHAAP from 1952 until 1956. The Plant 3 area rocket motor facility began operation in November of 1955. Production of rocket motors continued to be the primary mission of LHAAP until 1965, when the production of pyrotechnic and illuminating ammunition was re-established.

Recent operations consist of compounding pyrotechnic and propellant mixtures; load, assemble and pack activities; accommodating receipt and shipment of containerized cargo; and maintenance and/or layaway of standby facilities and equipment as they apply to mobilization planning. The installation has also been responsible for the static firing and elimination of Pershing I and II rocket motors in compliance with the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Force Treaty in effect between the United States and the former Soviet Union.

Disposal in the LHAAP 12 landfill was started prior to April 1963. The landfill was used intermittently for the disposal of industrial solid waste, possibly containing hazardous constituents, generated at LHAAP. This was standard landfill practice among public and private industry at the time. Disposal began in a diversion ditch from Central Creek and one of its principal tributaries and continued until 1978 when disposal was moved onto a hillside in the northeast portion of the site. In the early 1980's, areas southeast of the diversion ditch were cleared and used for disposal. Disposal in the LHAAP 12 landfill ceased in April 1994. The waste buried in the diversion ditch is suspected to be the primary source of contamination in the LHAAP 12 landfill.

The LHAAP 16 landfill was originally used from 1942 to 1944 for the disposal of TNT red water ash generated from the TNT Waste Disposal Plant. In the mid to late 1950's, three rocket motor casings were reportedly burned and possibly buried on the eastern side of the site. Additionally,

a large bermed depression encompassing the central section of the site was reportedly used for the disposal of a variety of materials, such as substandard TNT, barrels of chemicals, oil, paint, scrap iron, and wood. This area was eventually filled in and landfilling operations continued moving eastward, eventually raising the ground surface to its current elevation of approximately 190 feet msl. Burn pits and waste storage were common at the site, but little is known about the nature of the wastes involved. The site continued to be used for disposal of a variety of wastes until disposal activities ceased in the early 1980's.

As part of the U.S. Army Installation Restoration Program, the LHAAP began an environmental investigation of current and previously used waste disposal sites in 1976. The LHAAP installation was added to the National Priorities List (NPL) on August 30, 1990 (54 Federal Register (FR) 35509). After being listed on the NPL, The U.S. Army, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (TNRCC) - formerly known as the Texas Water Commission (TWC) - entered into a Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) Section 120 Agreement for remedial activities at the facility. The CERCLA Section 120 Agreement, referred to as the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA), became effective on December 30, 1991.

C. Highlights of Community Participation

The U.S. Army, EPA, and TNRCC have provided public outreach to the community surrounding LHAAP concerning the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills and other sites on the facility. The outreach program has included fact sheets, media interviews, site visits, invitations to attend quarterly technical and regulatory review meetings, and public meetings.

Copies of the Administrative Record documents have been made available to the public at several information repository locations, including LHAAP, EPA Region VI Library, TNRCC, and Marshall Public Library. On August 1, 1995, the Administrative Record at LHAAP was moved to the Karnack High School Library to facilitate and encourage public review. Notice of this change was published in the Marshall Messenger. The Proposed Plan for the Early Interim Remedial Action was released to the public on March 16, 1995. Copies of the Proposed Plan were placed at the Karnack Post Office, Marshall Library, Uncertain City Hall, and LHAAP. In addition, copies of the Proposed Plan were sent to members of the community that have shown interest in activities at LHAAP and attended other public meetings. Approximately 1,500 Fact Sheets identifying the sites under review, the preferred alternative, and the date of the public meeting were mailed to people living near LHAAP. A public comment period was designated from March 21, 1995, to April 21, 1995, and a public meeting was held on March 23, 1995, at the Karnack High School. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the proposed plan and to solicit public comments on the Early Interim Remedial Action for the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills.

Representatives of the U.S. Army, EPA, and TNRCC answered several questions at the public meeting. Twenty written comments were received during the public comment period. These

comments and those expressed at the public meeting are addressed in the Responsiveness Summary which is attached to the Record of Decision (ROD) as Appendix B.

D. Scope and Role of Response Action

The investigations at the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills have indicated the presence of low to moderate concentrations of chlorinated solvents, explosives, and heavy metals in the shallow ground water and buried waste. The close proximity of the LHAAP 12 landfill to Central Creek and the LHAAP 16 landfill to Harrison Bayou, tributaries of Caddo Lake, creates conditions conducive to the introduction of contaminants to these aquatic systems via groundwater transport. Consequences of this scenario could potentially include contaminant exposure to human and ecological receptors associated with these important aquatic resources.

The surfaces of the landfills are scarred with depressions, areas of erosion, weeds, and various sizes of trees. A scarred surface is very conducive to the infiltration of rainwater into the contents of the landfills. Typically, when water comes into contact with waste, it removes the soluble components of the waste producing a liquid called leachate, which may contaminate the underlying soils and ground water. Because the landfills are known disposal sites and since the ground water beneath the landfills is contaminated with organic and inorganic contaminants, it must be assumed that the landfills are serving as a source from which contaminants may be leaching into the ground water.

Based on these findings, the U.S. Army, EPA and TNRCC have determined that an Early Interim Remedial Action for the known extent of the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills is warranted.

The Early Interim Remedial Action will be implemented prior to completion of the site Risk Assessment. The ongoing Final Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for the landfills will continue, and the final ROD will be prepared following the completion of the RI/FS. This ROD addresses only the Early Interim Remedial Action which will be implemented to reduce the potential for further contaminant transport. The objectives of the Early Interim Remedial Action are to:

- minimize long-term vertical infiltration of water through the landfills; and
- minimize contaminant transport.

In landfills, the preferred remediation technology is containment of the waste to reduce the spread of contaminants. Containment is the most widely accepted technology when dealing with landfills containing unknown quantities and types of contaminants, especially when the location of the contaminant sources within the landfill cannot be readily defined. Excavation of the landfill contents would be required to properly identify and treat the contents of the landfills. Excavation of the landfills may allow the ecosystems around the landfills to be exposed to contaminants. This

possible exposure could endanger the ecosystems of Harrison Bayou, Central Creek, and Caddo Lake.


A landfill cap and cover system is the preferred technology for containment of hazardous waste in landfills. A landfill cap consists of a very low permeability layer constructed of materials such as compacted clay, geomembrane, and/or geocomposite clay liners. The purpose of the cap is to limit water infiltration and vertical migration of contaminants through the landfill. The landfill cover is placed on top of the cap and usually consists of vegetation and topsoil placed over lightly-compacted soil. The purpose of the cover is to protect the cap. The document, Presumptive Remedy for CERCLA Municipal Landfill Sites (EPA Directive 9355.0-49FS, PB 93-963339, September 1993) indicates cap and cover systems to be the most effective method to contain and prevent the spread of contaminants at most landfill sites. Also, the U.S. Army has an extensive history of successful containment of landfills using cap and cover systems.


E. Summary of Site Characteristics


The LHAAP 12 landfill is situated on an outcrop of the Wilcox Group. The LHAAP 16 landfill is situated on an outcrop of the Wilcox Group, with a contact between the primary materials of the Wilcox and recent alluvium running along the eastern and southeastern edges of the site. This contact approximates the 100-year floodplain elevation of 180 feet msl. Although several borings have been drilled at both landfills, stratigraphic correlation is difficult due to the lateral and vertical heterogeneity of the materials comprising the Wilcox Group. Subsurface data from soil borings and monitoring wells drilled and constructed as part of past investigations of the landfills show very few strata to be continuous across the landfills. These strata are typical of the Wilcox Group, consisting of clayey silt and sandy clay underlain by silty sand with silt and clay lenses generally underlain by clays and silts. The locations of on-site monitoring wells and previous soil borings at the LHAAP 12 landfill are shown on Figure 4 and the locations of the wells and borings at the LHAAP 16 landfill are shown on Figure 5.


Ground water at both landfills generally occurs under unconfined conditions. The elevation of the ground water at both landfills fluctuates with seasonal variations in rainfall. The ground water is encountered at depths of 20 to 25 feet beneath the LHAAP 12 landfill surface and flows generally to the east and northeast, as shown in Figure 6. The ground water is encountered at depths of 5 to 10 feet beneath the surface of the LHAAP 16 landfill and flows generally to the east and southeast, as shown in Figure 7.

Both landfills were originally investigated by the U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency in 1980. The discovery of contaminated soil and ground water led to further investigations in the area around the landfills. Since then, several investigations have been performed and reports generated regarding the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills. Investigation results are provided in the Administrative Record.









The following contaminants were found in the ground water at the LHAAP 12 landfill (the maximum concentrations found are shown in parentheses): trichloroethylene (27 micrograms per liter $(\mu g/l)$, 1,1,1-trichloroethane (66 $\mu g/l$), bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (15 $\mu g/l$), 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene (17 $\mu g/l$), lead (58 $\mu g/l$), chromium (162 $\mu g/l$), and nickel (207 $\mu g/l$). The highest concentrations of contaminants were found in the western and northeastern portions of the LHAAP 12 landfill. The following soil and source material contaminants were found: tetrachloroethylene (9.8 micrograms per kilogram ($\mu g/kg$)) and acetone (140 $\mu g/kg$) in the waste piles; and trace concentrations of acetone, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene in the landfill materials. The soil contained bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate at a maximum concentration of 5000 $\mu g/kg$.

The following contaminants were found in the ground water at the LHAAP 16 landfill (the maximum concentrations found are shown in parentheses): trichloroethylene (6400 μ g/l), methylene chloride (520 μ g/l), nitrobenzene (1.5 μ g/l), 2,6-dinitrobenzene (8.6 μ g/l), cadmium (5.5 μ g/l) and nickel (142 μ g/l). The highest concentrations of contaminants were found in the northeast corner of the LHAAP 16 landfill. The following contaminants were found in the soil at the landfill: trichloroethylene (10,000 μ g/kg), cis-1,2-dichloroethylene (2600 μ g/kg) and methylene chloride (1400 μ g/kg).

Past waste management practices at the landfills were reflective of standard practices prior to institution of formal environmental regulations. A variety of wastes that resulted from plant activities at LHAAP were buried in many locations throughout the landfills. Therefore, it would not be feasible to locate and identify all areas of concentrated waste without physically digging through the waste which would pose a greater risk to human health and the environment.

F. Summary of Site Risks

A risk assessment is a scientific procedure which uses facts and assumptions to estimate the potential for adverse effects on human health and the environment from exposure to site contaminants. An environmental or ecological risk assessment determines the present and future impacts on ecological receptors attributable to the site in its current condition. Human health risks are determined by evaluating known chemical exposure limits and actual concentrations at the site as identified during sampling activities. The actual contaminant concentrations are compared to the exposure concentration known to have an adverse impact. In the risk assessment, carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health risks are calculated. Conservative assumptions that weigh in favor of protecting human health are made in these calculations.

A risk assessment has not been completed for the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills since the true nature and extent of contamination has not been determined. A risk assessment will be conducted concurrently with the completion of the ongoing RI/FS work. The conclusions and recommendations of the risk assessment will be used during the development of the final response action for the sites. However, past investigations have indicated the presence of VOCs, explosives, and heavy metals in the shallow ground water, soil, and source material at both

landfills. Therefore, the Early Interim Remedial Action is warranted to mitigate the potential risks posed by the low to moderate concentrations of contaminants detected at the sites.

The low to moderate concentration of contaminants in ground water at the landfills and their close proximity to Central Creek (LHAAP 12 landfill) and Harrison Bayou (LHAAP 16 landfill), tributaries of Caddo Lake, creates conditions conducive to the introduction of contaminants to these aquatic systems via groundwater transport. Consequences of this scenario could include contaminant exposure to human and ecological receptors associated with these important aquatic resources. The magnitude of human and ecological exposure and associated risk estimates are dependent upon further site characterization, and will be addressed in the site risk assessment.

G. Description of the Alternatives

Seven alternatives were evaluated for this Early Interim Remedial Action. The alternatives were evaluated to determine the most effective method of reducing the potential for an increase in contaminant transport caused by rainwater infiltrating through the contents of the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills. The alternatives consist of Alternative 1 - No Action; Alternative 2 - Limited Action; and Alternatives 3 thru 7 - Various Types of Cap and Cover Systems. Various types of landfill caps were the only alternatives evaluated because removal and treatment or disposal of landfill contents is not feasible and is inconsistent with EPA presumptive remedy guidance. Also, the U.S. Army has an extensive history of successful containment of landfills using cap and cover systems. In addition, excavating and removing the contaminants could unnecessarily endanger the ecosystems of Harrison Bayou, Central Creek, and Caddo Lake.

Alternatives 3 thru 7 involve capping approximately 440,000 cubic yards of contaminated soil and landfill material. The LHAAP 12 landfill contains approximately 115,000 cubic yards of contaminated soil and landfill material and the LHAAP 16 landfill contains approximately 325,000 cubic yards of contaminated soil and landfill material.

Common elements of Alternatives 3 thru 7 (cap and cover systems) are:

- Clearing and grubbing of the landfill surface,
- A foundation layer placed on the landfills to provide proper grading for drainage,
- One (Alternatives 3 thru 5) or two (Alternatives 6 thru 7) low permeability layers,
- A final soil cover with adequate slopes and vegetation, and
- Perimeter berms and drainage swales to control surface runoff.

Alternatives 3, 4, and 5 use one low permeability layer consisting of a compacted clay liner, a sodium bentonite geocomposite liner, and a geomembrane liner, respectively. Alternatives 6 and 7 use two low permeability layers. Alternative 6 uses a geomembrane placed over a compacted clay layer and Alternative 7 uses a geomembrane placed over a sodium bentonite geocomposite liner.

The effectiveness of the each landfill cap alternative was evaluated using the Hydrologic Evaluation of Landfill Performance (HELP) Model developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the EPA. See Table 1 for a description of the alternatives considered in this Early Interim Remedial Action and the HELP Model results.

Table 1. Summary Description of the Alternatives Considered

Alternative 1 No Action	Description The No Action alternative is required by the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) for consideration. No Action assumes that nothing would be done to: restrict site access; address contamination; or monitor the contaminant migration.	Cost Estimated Capital Cost: \$0.00 Annual Operation and Maintenance: \$0.00 Estimated Total Costs (present worth): \$0.00	Time Estimated Time of Implementation: Design/Remedial Action: 0 months
Alternative 2 Limited Action	This alternative would not take any actions to address the source material. No action would be taken to restrict the groundwater contaminant plumes from migrating horizontally or vertically. This alternative would consist of long-term monitoring of the groundwater contaminant plumes. The site would be fenced and institutional controls, in the form of deed notices and signs, would be used to advise future property owners and potential trespassers of the potential health risks from exposure to any of the contaminated media.	Estimated Capital Cost: \$60,000 Annual Operation and Maintenance: \$50,000 Estimated Total Costs (present worth): \$612,000	Time of Implementation: Design/Remedial Action: 3 months Ground Water/ Surface Water Monitoring: 30 years
Alternative 3 Single barrier cap and cover system using off-site clay	This alternative involves the construction of a multilayer cap and cover system using a single, low permeability barrier consisting of off-site clay. The low permeability clay barrier would be compacted to a maximum permeability of $1x10^{-7}$ centimeters/second (cm/s). The HELP Model predicts this system will allow 2.4% of rainfall to infiltrate into the landfill.	Estimated Capital Cost: \$2,737,000 Annual Operation and Maintenance: \$75,000 Estimated Total Costs (present worth): \$2,811,000	Time of Implementation: Design/Remedial Action: 3 years

Į			
	Description	Cost	Time
Alternative 4 Single barrier cap and cover system using a geosynthetic membrane liner	This alternative involves the construction of a multilayer cap and cover system using a single, low permeability barrier consisting of a geosynthetic membrane liner. The HELP Model predicts this system will allow 6.1% of rainfall to infiltrate into the landfill.	Estimated Capital Cost: \$2,599,000 Annual Operation and Maintenance: \$75,000 Estimated Total Costs (present worth): \$2,678,000	Time of Implementation: Design/Remedial Action: 3 years
Alternative 5 Single barrier cap and cover system using a sodium bentonite geocomposite liner	This alternative involves the construction of a multilayer cap and cover system using a single, low permeability barrier consisting of a sodium bentonite geocomposite liner. The HELP Model predicts this system will allow 2.5% of rainfall to infiltrate into the landfill.	Estimated Capital Cost: \$2,994,000 Annual Operation and Maintenance: \$75,000 Estimated Total Costs (present worth): \$3,058,000	Time of Implementation: Design/Remedial Action: 3 years
Alternative 6 Double barrier cap and cover system using a geosynthetic membrane liner and off-site clay	This alternative involves the construction of a multilayer cap and cover system using two low permeability barriers consisting of a geosynthetic membrane liner placed over a layer of compacted clay. The compacted clay layer would consist of off-site clay compacted to a maximum permeability of 1×10^{-7} centimeters/second (cm/s). The HELP Model predicts this system will allow 0.16% of rainfall to infiltrate into the landfill.	Estimated Capital Cost: \$3,056,000 Annual Operation and Maintenance: \$75,000 Estimated Total Costs (present worth): \$3,118,000	Time of Implementation: Design/Remedial Action: 3 years
Alternative 7 Double barrier cap and cover system using a geosynthetic membrane liner and a sodium bentonite geocomposite liner	This alternative involves the construction of a multilayer cap and cover system using two low permeability barriers consisting of a geosynthetic membrane liner placed over a sodium bentonite geocomposite liner. The HELP Model predicts this system will allow 0.059% of rainfall to infiltrate into the landfill.	Annual Operation and Maintenance: \$75,000	Time of Implementation: Design/Remedial Action: 3 year

H. Summary of The Comparative Analysis of Alternatives

CERCLA regulations require that remedial alternatives be evaluated against 9 criteria to determine which alternative(s) provide the best balance between the criteria and, therefore, should be implemented at the site. The following presents an explanation of the criteria:

1. Overall Protection of Public Health and the Environment

This criteria addresses the way in which a potential remedy would reduce, eliminate, or control the risks posed by the site to human health and the environment. The methods used to achieve an adequate level of protection may be through engineering controls, treatment, techniques, or other controls such as restrictions on the future use of the site.

2. Compliance with ARARs

Compliance with applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) assures that a selected remedy will meet all related Federal, State, and local requirements. The requirements may specify maximum concentrations of chemicals that can remain at a site; design or performance requirements for treatment technologies; and restrictions that may limit potential remedial activities at a site because of its location.

3. Long-term Effectiveness or Permanence

This criteria addresses the ability of a potential option to reliably protect human health and the environment over time, after the cleanup goals have been accomplished.

4. Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume of Contaminants

This criteria assesses how effectively a proposed remedy will address the contamination problem. Factors considered include: the nature of the treatment process; the amount of hazardous materials that will be destroyed by the treatment process; how effectively the process reduces the toxicity, mobility, and volume of waste; and the type and quantity of contamination that will remain after treatment.

5. Short-term Effectiveness

This criteria assesses short-term risks to the workers, the community, and the time factor. Cleanup technologies often require several years for implementation. A potential remedy is evaluated for the length of time required for implementation and the potential impact on human health and the environment during the remedial action.

6. Implementability

Implementability addresses the ease with which a potential remedy can be put in place. Factors such as technical feasibility and availability of materials and services are considered.

7. Cost

Costs (including estimated capital costs required for design and construction and projected long-term maintenance costs) are considered and compared to the benefit that will result from implementing the remedy.

8. State Acceptance

The State has an opportunity to review the documents in the Administrative Record and the Proposed Plan and offer comments. The State may agree with, oppose, or have no comment on the preferred alternatives.

9. Community Acceptance

During the public comment period, interested persons or organizations may comment on the alternatives. These comments are considered in making the final remedy selection. The comments are addressed in a document called Responsiveness Summary which is part of the Record of Decision.

The following is a narrative analysis for the alternatives considered:

Overall Protection of Public Health and the Environment:

The No Action Alternative and the Limited Action Alternative involve no remediation or containment. They do not minimize infiltration of water into the landfills and consequently may allow continued contaminant transport. Because the No Action and Limited Action Alternatives are not protective of human health and the environment, they will not be considered further.

Alternatives 3, 4, and 5 each provide a moderate degree of reliable long- and short-term protection of human health and the environment by minimizing the infiltration of water into the landfills. However, these alternatives only provide one barrier layer to reduce infiltration of water into the landfills. Infiltration of water would resume if this single layer was damaged by differential settlement, desiccation cracking, or construction activities.

Alternatives 6 and 7 provide a very high degree of reliable long- and short-term protection of human health and the environment by minimizing the infiltration of water into the landfills. The amounts of rainwater which are predicted to infiltrate through the cap and cover systems for Alternatives 6 and 7 are 0.16 and 0.059 percent, respectively. The infiltration for Alternatives 3, 4, and 5 is 2.4, 6.2, and 2.5 percent, respectively. Therefore, the predicted infiltration for Alternatives 6 and 7 is an order of magnitude less than the infiltration for the other alternatives. The Hydrologic Evaluation of Landfill Performance (HELP) computer model was used to determine these infiltration rates.

Compliance with Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements:

The Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) for the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills include:

- Location of the sites within a 100-year floodplain;
- Discharge of rainfall runoff into a surface water body;
- Occupational Safety and Health Act; and
- Requirements for closure of a landfill and construction of a landfill cap.

All the landfill cap alternatives can be designed and constructed to comply with the ARARs. Alternatives 1 and 2 were not considered because they are not protective of human health and the environment.

Long-Term Effectiveness or Permanence:

The removal of the landfill contents is not feasible and is inconsistent with EPA presumptive remedy guidance and U.S. Army policy. Therefore, none of the alternatives evaluated in this ROD address the issue of a permanent solution. All of the alternatives, however, provide varying

degrees of long-term effectiveness assuming proper maintenance and frequent inspections to ensure integrity has not been compromised.

Alternative 3 would provide a moderate to high degree of reliable long-term protection to human health and the environment. It would reduce the long-term risk due to migration of contaminants into ground water or runoff into surface water. A potential for migration of contaminants would still exist with this alternative because waste material would remain in place and because the clay liner is not totally impervious and would permit approximately 2.4 percent of the rainfall to infiltrate the landfill.

Alternative 4 provides a moderate to high degree of reliable long-term protection to human health and the environment. Geosynthetic membrane liners are thin sheets of material very susceptible to punctures. Therefore, a geosynthetic membrane liner (used without additional barriers) usually has higher infiltration rates than other types of liners. The geosynthetic membrane liner permits approximately 6.2 percent or three times the infiltration of rainfall permitted by a compacted clay liner. A small risk of contaminants migrating from the landfill would remain.

Alternative 5 would provide a moderate to high degree of reliable long-term protection to human health and the environment. The sodium bentonite geocomposite liner allows approximately 2.5 percent of the rainfall to infiltrate the landfill. Therefore, Alternative 5 provides approximately the same protection as Alternative 3. However, the bentonite liner is less susceptible to damage from differential settlement and desiccation cracking. A low potential for migration of contaminants would exist with this alternative because the waste material would remain in place.

Alternatives 6 and 7 provide a very high degree of reliable long-term protection to human health and the environment. Two impervious layers would provide maximum protection since Alternatives 6 and 7 permit less than 0.16 percent and 0.059 percent, respectively, of rainwater to infiltrate into the waste. Cap and cover systems which require more clay will cause additional weight to be placed on the landfill contents. This extra weight will cause the landfill contents to densify and increase the possibility of settlement in the landfill resulting in an increased risk of settlement cracks developing in the clay liner. The sodium bentonite geocomposite liner is less susceptible than compacted clay to damage from desiccation and differential settlement cracking. Also, the sodium bentonite geocomposite liner is placed under the geomembrane and any water infiltrating into holes in the geomembrane will cause the sodium bentonite to swell and seal the hole quickly. Therefore, Alternative 7 will provide the best long-term protection.

Proper maintenance and routine inspection are required under all alternatives to ensure the integrity of the cap and cover system. Consequently, the U.S. Army is required, under all alternatives, to perform long-term maintenance of the cap and cover system.

Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume of Contaminants:

None of the alternatives meet the intent of these criteria since treatment of the contents of the landfills is not feasible and was not evaluated.

Short-Term Effectiveness:

Implementation of Alternatives 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 could be initiated within a year and construction activities completed within 1 to 2 years. Alternatives 4, 5, and 7 could be completed quicker than Alternatives 3 and 6, since it is more difficult and time consuming to construct and compact a clay liner than it is to roll out and install either bentonite liners or geosynthetic membranes. Alternatives 4 and 5 could be completed quicker than Alternative 7, since each of these alternatives only requires the installation of a single liner, while Alternative 7 requires the installation of two liners.

Construction-related impacts on human health are expected to mainly affect workers at the landfills since all alternatives are expected to generate dust and noise. However, plant personnel driving by the sites could be slightly impacted by dust blowing across the road. Dust emissions would be minimized with proper on-site control procedures and protective clothing. Every effort would be made to minimize short-term impacts through appropriate control measures. Worker exposure via dermal contact and/or inhalation of contaminants is not anticipated, since any potential impacts could be controlled through the use of protective clothing, spraying of work areas with water to limit dust generation, and appropriate training of workers. Air monitoring would be performed to protect workers and other personnel from exposure to contaminants.

Implementability:

Alternatives 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 have few associated technical or administrative difficulties that could prohibit or delay implementation. Capping is a well developed technique that has been used at many hazardous waste sites. The required labor, equipment, and materials to implement all of these alternatives are readily available. Conventional construction equipment and techniques would be used to implement these alternatives along with appropriate health and safety precautions. Extreme weather conditions might delay implementation of Alternatives 3 and 6 because clay liners are difficult to install during hot, dry weather. Extreme weather conditions should not affect the implementation of Alternatives 4, 5, and 7 since sodium bentonite geocomposite liners and geosynthetic liners can be installed during hot, dry weather. Sodium bentonite geocomposite liners and geosynthetic liners are quickly and easily installed since they are delivered in rolls for ease of storage, handling, and installation. The final cap and cover systems described in Alternatives 3 and 6 would require greater volumes of clay resulting in a longer construction period. Cap and cover systems described in Alternatives 4, 5, and 7 require less clay resulting in shorter construction times.

Cost:

A summary of the cost estimates of Alternatives 3 thru 7 are located in Appendix B of the Focused Feasibility Study for the landfill caps. Alternative 4 is the least expensive with a capital cost of approximately \$2.6 million; however, Alternative 4 has the highest infiltration rate. Alternative 7 is the most expensive with a capital cost of approximately \$3.3 million, but is less than 10 percent more expensive than Alternative 6. Since the sodium bentonite geocomposite liner has many advantages over a compacted clay liner, Alternative 7 was determined to be the most cost effective alternative.

State Acceptance:

The Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission has reviewed the investigation results and the Proposed Plan. The preferred alternatives for the Early Interim Remedial Action were fully evaluated during the public comment period, and support for the alternatives has been indicated.

Community Acceptance:

Community acceptance is an important consideration in the final evaluation of the remedial alternative. All comments received during the 30-day public comment period and at the March 23, 1995, public meeting have been specifically addressed in the Responsiveness Summary included as Appendix B. These comments have dealt with site and technology specific concerns. Based on the comments received during the public comment period, the community appears to support the Early Interim Remedial Action as detailed in the Proposed Plan.

I. The Selected Remedy

The preferred alternative for minimizing the infiltration of water into the landfills and minimizing contaminant transport is Alternative 7. In recommending this alternative, the performance of all the alternatives was evaluated against nine criteria outlined in the CERCLA regulation. Based on consideration of CERCLA requirements, the detailed analysis of the alternatives, and public comments the U.S. Army, EPA, and TNRCC believe the preferred alternative provides the best balance of trade-offs among the other alternatives with respect to the evaluation criteria. Alternative 7 is a multilayer cap and cover system consisting of the following components:

- Clearing and grubbing of the landfill surface,
- A foundation layer placed on the landfills to provide proper grading for drainage,
- A low permeability sodium bentonite geocomposite liner,
- A low permeability geosynthetic membrane liner,
- A final soil cover with adequate slopes and vegetation, and
- Perimeter berms and drainage swales to control surface runoff.

The foundation layer for the LHAAP 12 landfill will consist of treated soil from Burning Ground No. 3 (ROD May 1995). If all of the treated soil cannot be placed on the LHAAP 12 landfill, the remaining treated soil will be placed on the LHAAP 16 landfill and used as part of the foundation layer.

The estimated present worth, capital costs, and operation and maintenance costs over 5 years for the selected remedy are as follows:

Capital Costs: \$3,338,000
 Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs: 75,000

Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs: 75,000
 Total Costs (Present Worth): \$3,390,000

The U.S. Army would be required to maintain the cap and cover system. The landfill caps would be inspected at regular intervals to check for erosion, settlement and deep-rooted vegetation. Repairs would be implemented as needed. This alternative has future use restrictions associated with it which would be placed on installation maps. Also, warning signs would be placed at the landfills. This alternative will be reviewed every 5 years, at which time the effectiveness of this remedial action will be assessed and further remedial actions taken if the remedy is not protective of human health and the environment. Additional investigations of the ground water in the area of the LHAAP 12 and LHAAP 16 landfills are being conducted. Remedial actions may be considered and a final ROD issued when the current investigations are complete.

J. Statutory Determination

The primary responsibility at CERCLA sites is to select remedial actions that are protective of human health and the environment. Section 121 of CERCLA requires that the selected remedial action for the site comply with applicable or relevant and appropriate environmental standards established under Federal and State environmental laws, unless a waiver is granted. The selected remedy must also be cost effective and utilize permanent treatment technologies or resource recovery technologies to the maximum extent practicable. The statute also contains a preference for remedies that include treatment as a principal element. The following sections discuss how the selected remedy for the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills meets the statutory requirements even though this remedy is not designed or expected to be the final remedial action:

Protection of Human Health and the Environment:

The selected remedy provides a high degree of reliable long-term protection by minimizing the infiltration of water into the landfills resulting in reduced contaminant transport. This action would reduce the risk of contaminants reaching the nearby aquatic systems and would consequently reduce contaminant exposure to human and ecological receptors associated with these systems. Also, the multilayer landfill cap will minimize the risk of humans and ecological receptors coming into physical contact with any contaminant sources. A groundwater monitoring

program will be maintained during and after the placement of the landfill caps. By maintaining such a program, prevention of exposure can be assured. No short-term risks are associated with the selected remedy that cannot be readily controlled. In addition, no adverse cross-media impacts are expected from the remedy.

Compliance with Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements:

The selected remedy will comply with all applicable or relevant and appropriate action-, closure-, and location-specific requirements. The U.S. Army, EPA, and TNRCC have agreed the ARARs presented below represent a complete list of ARARs required for the implementation of this interim remedial action.

Action-Specific ARARs:

The National Pollution Discharge Elimination System program, 40 CFR Part 125; and Texas Water Quality Standards, Title 30, Part IX - Chapter 307 are applicable to water discharges into a surface water body.

Occupational Safety and Health Administration requirements (29 CFR) are applicable to the work conducted during the Early Interim Remedial Action.

The substantive requirements of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, 40 CFR Parts 133 and 230 and 33 CFR Parts 320-330, are applicable to construction of a fill in a wetlands.

30 Texas Administrative Code Sections 335.112, 335.118, 335.119, and 335.174 and 40 CFR Sections 264.228, and 264.310 are applicable to closure and post-closure care of landfills or surface impoundments storing hazardous waste.

Chemical-Specific ARARs:

No chemical-specific ARARs apply to this alternative because the concentrations of contaminants will not be altered and no contaminants will be discharged into the air or water.

Location-Specific ARARs:

RCRA requirements concerning location of a treatment, storage, or disposal facility within a 100-year floodplain will be relevant and appropriate as part of the LHAAP 16 landfill is within a 100-year floodplain (40 CFR Part 264.18).

While no historic or archeological points of interest are known to be present at the sites, should they be discovered the procedures of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (36 CFR Part 800) will be adhered to.

Cost Effectiveness:

The selected remedy is cost-effective while achieving the objectives of the Early Interim Remedial Action. The cost of placing a multi-layer cap and cover section over the landfills range from \$2,678,000 for Alternative 4 to \$3,390,000 for Alternative 7. Alternative 7 is approximately 26 percent more expensive then Alternative 4. However, Alternative 7 is two orders of magnitude more effective than Alternative 4 in reducing infiltration and subsequent contaminant transport. Alternative 7 is the most resistant to damage from differential settlement and desiccation cracking. Therefore, the selected landfill cap section provides the greatest overall protection while remaining cost effective.

<u>Utilization of Permanent Solutions and Alternative Treatment Technologies or Resource Recovery Technologies to the Maximum Extent Practicable:</u>

The Early Interim Remedial Action does not address the issue of a permanent solution through treatment or recovery of contaminants. Removal and treatment or disposal of landfill contents is not feasible and is inconsistent with EPA presumptive remedy guidance. Also, the U.S. Army has an extensive history of successful containment of landfills using cap and cover systems. In addition, excavating and removing the contaminants would unnecessarily endanger the ecosystems of Harrison Bayou, Central Creek, and Caddo Lake.

Preference for Treatment as a Principal Element:

This selected remedy does not employ the use of treatment as a principal element. Treatment of the landfill contaminants is not feasible for reasons stated in the above paragraph. Also, this is an Early Interim Remedial Action and treatment of the soil, ground water, or landfill contents is beyond the scope of this remedial action. Groundwater investigations are ongoing and a final ROD will be issued when the ongoing investigations and subsequent risk assessment are complete. The preference for remedies that employ treatment as a principal element will be addressed in the final ROD.

K. Documentation of Significant Changes

The Proposed Plan for the Early Interim Remedial Action at the site was released for public comments on March 16, 1995. The Proposed Plan identified the preferred alternative to be Alternative 7: placement of a multi-layer cap and cover section over the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills. The cap and cover section utilizes two low permeability layers consisting of a geosynthetic membrane liner placed over a sodium bentonite geocomposite liner. The geocomposite liner is placed on a foundation layer. The foundation layer is used to provide proper grading of the landfill surface. Treated soil from Burning Ground No. 3 (ROD May, 1995) was planned to be used as the foundation layer for the LHAAP 12 landfill. Clay was to be used as the foundation layer for the LHAAP 16 landfill.

Subsequent evaluation of the Proposed Plan identified a need to make a significant, but not fundamental, change to the proposed remedy.

Due to the size and layout of the LHAAP 12 landfill and the amount of treated soil to be generated at Burning Ground No. 3, the LHAAP 12 landfill may not be able to accommodate all of the treated soil produced by the interim action at Burning Ground No. 3. Therefore, the treated soil from Burning Ground No. 3 will be used to construct the foundation layer for the LHAAP 12 landfill and the remaining treated soil will be used to partially construct the foundation layer for the LHAAP 16 landfill. No treated soil will be placed on the LHAAP 16 landfill below the 100-year floodplain elevation (180 feet msl).

APPENDIX A

THE STATE OF TEXAS LETTER OF CONCURRENCE



015712

TEXAS NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

August 14, 1995

Mr. Myron O. Knudson, P.E., Director Superfund Division U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75202-2733

RE: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Record of Decision

Dear Mr. Knudson:

We have reviewed the proposed Record of Decision (ROD) for the Early Interim Remedial Action, Landfill Sites 12 and 16, Long and Army Ammunition Plant. We concur that the remedy described in the August 1995 ROD is the most appropriate for these sites. Selected remedy consists of a multi-layer cap section with includes: a foundation soil layer; a sodium bentonite geocompained liner; a geosynthetic membrane liner; a final soil cover; and perimeter berms and drainage swales.

We have provided information regarding the State's requirements for wastewater discharge, and closure and post closure care of landfills, which have been incorporated into the ROD. We anticipate that adequate funding and personnel resources will be provided through the Department of Defense/State Memorandam of Agreement and the Federal Facility Agreement to allow our continued participation in the remedial action.

Sincerely,

William (Cybell Dan Pearson

Executive Director

DP/MM/mm

cc: David Tolbert, LHAAP (SIOLH-OR)

Jonna Polk, COE Tulsa District (CESWT-PP-EA)

Lisa Price, EPA Region 6 (6SF-AT)

APPENDIX B

RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY

EARLY INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION LANDFILL SITES 12 AND 16 LONGHORN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

EARLY INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION (15714) LANDFILL SITE 12 AND 16 LONGHORN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

This Community Relations Responsiveness Summary provides written responses to public comments submitted regarding the Proposed Plan of Action for Landfill Sites 12 and 16. The Summary is divided into two sections:

Section I: Background of Community Involvement and Concerns. This section provides a brief history of community interest and concerns raised during the remedial planning activities at the sites.

Section II: Summary of Major Comments Received. The comments (both oral and written) are summarized. The U.S. Army responses are provided.

I. Background of Community Involvement and Concerns

The community surrounding the Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant (LHAAP) is aware of the problems associated with Landfill Sites 12 and 16. This awareness is evident by the community participation in the public meeting held at Karnack High School on March 23, 1995, and by the participation of local officials and community activists in the Technical Review Committee meetings held quarterly at LHAAP since 1992. The community has not expressed any opposition to the interim action at the site. However, the community has raised some concerns through comments made during the public meeting and written comments provided during the public comment period. The comments received from the community concentrated mainly on past and future exposure to site contaminants, as well as questions related to technical aspects of the proposed interim action.

II. Summary of Major Comments Received

Public notice announcing the public comment period and invitation to the public meeting was given from March 16 to March 23, 1995, in the Marshall News Messenger, a widely circulated local newspaper. Approximately 1,500 invitation letters and fact sheets were mailed to local citizens on March 17, 1995. The Proposed Plan of Action was released to the general public on March 16, 1995. The public comment period began on March 21, 1995, and ended on April 21, 1995. A public meeting was held on March 23, 1995, at the Karnack High School. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the proposed plan and to solicit public comments on the interim action for Landfill sites 12 and 16. Representatives of the U.S. Army made presentations about the interim action and answered public comments during the public meeting. Representatives of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (TNRCC) attended the meeting and assisted in the responses to public comments.

Several people attended the public meeting and at least six asked questions or made comments. One set of written comments and questions was received during the public comment period.

The following comments and questions were received during the public meeting. A full account of the public meeting can be found in the public meeting transcripts that are documented in the LHAAP Administrative Record.

1. Comment by Mr. Kornegay:

What's the maximum length of time on the cap and cover, before it starts disintegrating or whatever it does? I notice \$75,000 for the operation and maintenance costs on the highest one, versus number 4 or whatever it is there, or number 3 which is \$75,000 also.

Response:

It's really difficult to estimate the length of how long these things will last. There have been durations estimated to be 30 to 50 years. The nice thing about the bentonite liner is that the membranes eventually, although it may be 50 or 100 years, will break down. Bentonite is a very low permeability clay. It will not break down. It will always be there. So even if its membrane breaks down, there is another barrier layer to prevent infiltration. The reason for the five-year operation and maintenance cost is because we know there is going to be an evaluation of the interim action after five years. We don't know what the outcome of the evaluation will be or how the project will be affected. The operation and maintenance cost was included so that we could compare the alternatives. The operation of the maintenance of the cap will be the same for each alternative - mowing, controlling any erosion, and just simple operational maintenance.

2. Comment by Mr. Kornegay:

Well, I knew it would be estimated, anyway.

Response:

It's going to be an estimate, and will vary based on how much rain, and the type of erosion. The cap would be well maintained, because operational maintenance costs are low compared to replacement costs.

3. Comment by Mr. Michaels:

Are the contaminant levels in the ground water around the landfills anywhere close to that around Burning Ground 3 where the Army will be pumping and treating groundwater? Are they much lower than that?

Response:

Yes, they are.

4. Comment by Mr. Michaels:

Have there been any separate trenches or anything dug around the landfill itself or any of those to see what exactly is leaking from that?

Response:

The investigation of the groundwater is continuing. We did not have enough information at this time to make a decision on what kind of remedy we may need for the groundwater. We knew what was needed for the landfill. No, we have not seen a concentration anywhere near what we have at Burning Ground No. 3.

5. Comment by Mr. Michaels:

So how long do you think it's going to be before you actually go back and remediate the site? Five years? You don't actually know?

Response:

I would estimate about three years.

6. Comment by Mr. Michaels:

Three years?

Response:

Yes. The Interim Remedial Action is a just a phase in the process. The Remedial Investigation phase is continuing at the same time. The process is refined as data is gathered, and more information is available. We're at the point in the process where we are trying to cap the landfills to reduce migration. When the investigation phase is complete, another remedial action may be implemented. A lot of people may ask does it bring any jobs into the community, spending three and a half million dollars out there. Our intent will be to award this three to four months from now. It will be awarded to a prime contractor, who would hire subcontractors. We would anticipate that subcontractor would hire people for the movement of clay or for vehicular drivers or for any type of support equipment that might be needed for this construction on the site. So to answer your question, "Is it possible I could get a job out of this?", it's possible. I won't know until about three to four months when we select the contractor, and then that contractor goes out and subcontracts work.

7. Comment by Mr. Michaels:

Is there ever any real effort made at each locale, like around Longhorn at the Army Ammunition plant to actually try to hire a prime from a close geographic area? I know it's very hard to find someone with the talents that you need for this type of project locally. But is there an effort made to do that, or is it one of those deals that starts like in Maryland and those folds, or in Washington or wherever, and those folks are pretty much on top of it here and getting the stuff all around the country, rather than those folks in one specific geographic area like around here?

Response:

We have a tool box that we've assembled, prime contractors who have proven expertise and who have competed for those contracts, have shown they can perform in a cost-effective manner, have shown they can get the job done, and we select from that set of tools. However, much of the funds that go into those contracts is going to go to subcontractors and the vendors, many of which may be local. It just depends on who's available and who can deliver.

8. Comment by Mr. Michaels:

I think that will be a real plus for -- you know, a lot of people don't understand, you know. I think if people really understood the economic impact of the clean-up that's going on at Longhorn can have in this area, this place would be -- you couldn't find a parking place in this area of the country. You know, it would cover up the entire City of Karnack. I was just wondering if there was ever any effort that went along with, you know, actually trying to get some local folks.

Response:

All of these contracts that we're using for this type of work have as a part of the goals for subcontractors, using both disadvantaged businesses and small businesses. Local business is less the defined goal, perhaps, because the federal government hasn't, you know, made that a priority. But clearly, a local small business is going to have a better chance. So I would say yes, there is some effort in that way. The contractors that have been here so far, have made a very specific effort to hire locally and they have hired several people, and that will continue to be a priority for them.

9. Comment by Mr. Michaels:

The only reason I'm bringing that up is that every time somebody talks about this, it seems to be a big minus. And it's really not a minus. It could be a big plus. That's what I'm saying.

Response:

Actually one of the contracts that we did select was selected based on their locality and their familiarity with this area. So, yes, we do see it as a plus. Further questions? This is a family in here, so if you've got questions, bring them up, and we'll try and answer them if we can.

10. Comment by Ms. Weekly:

The seepage there, does that have anything to do with the mercury that is showing up at our Caddo Lake?

Response:

No, ma'am. We don't use mercury for any of our production processes short of switches which may have been used in the early days. We're talking about small pieces. We have

monitored for mercury. When the articles came out in the newspapers, believe me, we were dedicated to the request to monitor by the State of Texas and everyone else and we did that. We're going to comply with the State. Mr. H.L. Jones (TNRCC): Maybe I can give a little input into that since I coordinate Army TNRCC issues on a regional level. I'm with TNRCC, Region 5. We have a stream monitoring individual in our office who just completed an independent study on several of the lakes, including Caddo. The end result of his study was that he could not pinpoint a mercury source. It was an independent study. And, of course, he wasn't looking specifically at Longhorn or any other entity as a possible point source. Of course, he has some ideas and I have some ideas, but at this point, there is not justification for people pointing an accusing finger at Longhorn. Let me make a few statements about that. One is that the State of Louisiana also ran a study where they didn't find a problem. Mercury is pretty widely disseminated within the lake, and not in any certain location. There are some other locations. I won't get into it, but I did a research project a number of years ago, and I have some ideas about it.

11. Comment by Mr. Michaels:

The contaminant levels of mercury are actually higher as you go east. And again, mercury levels in the areas surrounding Monroe and Ruston are much higher than they are here.

Response:

Any other questions?

12. Comment by Mr. Thomas:

When you are speaking of capping the landfill, when you say "cap" is that just laying something over the top? What about the seepage from the side?

Response:

The cap will extend from the top of the landfill to the bottom of the side slopes. Therefore, no water will be able to seep in from the sides.

13. Comment by Mr. Thomas:

Do you have anything in the bottom of it in the landfill? You know, capping it, is it feasible? Is it going to stop it?

Response:

The capping action will slow the migration problem while we finish the studies. The intent is not to dig out the entire landfill, and put a liner at the base of it. The intent right now is not to take all of the soil 20 feet deep in the landfill out and remediate the soil. We're still in the study process right now. Right now, the interim intent is to keep water from migrating through. So to answer your questions, we're not putting a layer beneath it to keep water from migrating through. As an analogy, I'm trying to put something hard on top of it, so it doesn't seep through in the groundwater. I'm taking the first stage step to reduce contaminant migration.

14. Comment by Mr. Thomas: Not even the side of it?

Response:

Not at this time. That will be examined later in the final remedial investigation, but right now, we don't have complete information. This is an interim action to cap the landfills and reduce migration that we know is occurring right now.

15. Comment by Mr. Thomas:

Are we wasting \$4 million, what I'm saying is, to just wait three years and we're still dying from it?

Response:

We're not dying from it.

16. Comment by Mr. Thomas:

We're not?

Response:

I think what we're doing is taking a prudent step as a protective measure to limit what could be a clean-up problem at a later date. The State and Federal government feel that this is a proper way to protect the environment and the public. We want to cap the landfills while we continue to study, and then develop a final remediation plan.

17. Comment by Mr. Thomas:

Well, the reason I asked the question is, we're looking at 45 years already.

Response:

On the initial use of the site?

18. Comment by Mr. Thomas:

Right.

Response:

One of the sites started in the 40's.

19. Comment by Mr. Thomas:

So we got 45 years of drainage already.

Response:

Well, there's no evidence of migration of that drainage into the waterfills. We know that it is there. We know that we're trying to capsulate it. That's not to say that things that were put in there in 1945 aren't still there. I can't tell you what was put in there on

December 7, 1945. We have an idea through historical searches what we think was put in there, and we have monitored the landfills with wells and borings, and we are attempting to identify exactly how big the source is there and how we can protect ourselves. One of the initial processes that we think is a safe thing to do is to protect further migration, as you would a landfill in Karnack or a landfill anywhere. It's a standard practice. We think it's worth the investment. Easy solution would be for a manager to say, "Don't spend a dime until you get all of the statistical data out to the ninth decimal point and gradually remediate site by site by site." That whole process could take 20 years, 30 years.

20. Comment by Mr. Thomas:

I'm only speaking — I have family here. My wife has gotten suddenly sick within the last 10 years we moved back here, and I'm growing up a family here. I don't want my kids to get 20, and all of the sudden they start dropping out with illnesses and stuff from drinking the water. So we spend \$4 million and work with it four more years, or do we spend \$20 million and get it cleaned up now?

Response:

We don't have the data, first of all, to make the final assessment. We've got the initial data that says we need to cap it now.

21. Comment by Mr. Thomas: I agree. That sounds good.

Response:

I drink the water too.

22. Comment by Mr. Thomas:

Well, I don't Colonel. I don't drink it. I buy mine.

Response:

Okay. I drink it. And I work out there and I'm as concerned about it as you are, and I think that this is the right thing. Believe me, I am concerned about the health and welfare of others, okay. Anymore questions? We have boards in the back set up, and we would be glad, if you didn't have time when you came in, to go through some of the boards that lay out the site and tell you what's going on at the site. We'd also be glad to stay around and let you discuss the specifics about the plan with the engineering staffs here. Again, if you have an issue that you would like to make a written comment on that you're not prepared to bring up in open conversation, please do that, and that will be incorporated into this plan. We've got 30 days, I believe, for the record to remain open for comment. So if you go home and you think of something, take a plan with you, and on the back side of it, there is a form to fill it out. Get it to us, and we'll incorporate your question into the record. Are there any other questions?

23. Comment by Mr. Kornegay:

How did they arrive at the extensive history of the successful containment of landfills? Did the Army do this? Have they tried all these other things and found that this would be the best one? Because if it is, why not go with it? Why go through all of the studies if it's already been done?

Response:

You're referring to this specific capping as the best alternative, and asking why we didn't just go with the best alternative in round one?

24. Comment by Mr Kornegay:

Right. If you knew that the landfills required a cap, why did you go through the study process?

Response:

One of the requirements when you work with the government is that you go through a series of logical steps where you can fix the problem at the most economical and logical step, and you work your way down. And it is our opinion that we need to be at the safest level, which is the \$3 million level that you're looking at right now and offers the most protection.

25. Comment by Mr. Kornegay:

I was just going by the paragraph. It says that an extensive history of successful containments using this method have already been done. It's like going back over the same ground again to prove that something works. Why not use it?

Response:

In the EPA's history with Superfund environmental clean-up work, we've found that the most logical step for a landfill is to put a cap on it. When you've got a landfill and you know there is potential for migration, you put a cap on it. That's what the Army does. That's what the EPA does. We're trying to show that we're consistently approaching remedial actions throughout the entire United States.

26. Comment by Mr. Kornegay:

Well I'm just speaking from my point of view as a taxpayer. If something already works, use it, if it's going to cost \$3 million, use it now and get it over with, rather than go through all of the steps here and the money that it's going to take to find out that this works.

Response:

Unfortunately, we are working within a law that requires us to have enough information to make an informed decision, and then we bring that decision to the public to ask for their input. I think most of the people on the technical staff knew that they we were going to

be in a capping situation, but they still have to consider alternatives, which didn't take very long, and then get to the capping.

27. Comment by Mr. Corrigan:

No matter what method of remediation is chosen, whether you build a wall around the landfill or whatever, you still have to have a cap on it, don't you? So really, this \$3 and a half million or whatever that's spent, is going to have to be spent no matter what.

Response:

That's correct. Other questions? Well, again, I want to thank you for being here. We're interested in your comments. You are a part of the community and part of the team. I want to introduce you again to some of the players, and ask you to take the opportunity to meet these folks. They work for you and you pay their salaries; the State of Texas and the EPA. If you've got a few minutes, please, go over to meet them, talk to them, get their opinions. They are independent and are not working for the Army. Their function is to regulate and make sure that we're complying with the law. I encourage you to talk to them and solicit their input. With that, thank you very much.

The following include the comments and questions received in writing during the public comment period and the U. S. Army response. A full account of the public comments can be found in the LHAAP Administrative Record. The following comments were submitted by the Uncertain Audubon Society.

1. Comment:

The description of Alternative #7 in the Proposed Plan on page 10, has a flaw. The third dash should read "a second low permeability layer consisting of a geosynthetic membrane liner over the sodium bentonite geocomposite liner" rather than as is now reads "over the compacted clay layer." The composite clay layer is for Alternative #6.

Response:

Agree. The geosynthetic membrane liner will be placed over a sodium bentonite geocomposite liner not a compacted clay liner.

2. Comment:

The selection of Alternative #7 is the appropriate action if the only alternatives are 1 through 7. Alternative #7 is the best alternative. However the alternatives as proposed are lacking in scope appropriate to address the contamination issues at the sites and in meeting all of the CERCLA Criteria for a remedy. The details of these issues are presented below.

Response:

This is a limited scope Early Interim Remedial Action intended to address a known problem, infiltration of water into the landfills causing increased contaminant transport. The full nature and extent of the groundwater contamination at the landfills is not known. The investigation of groundwater contamination resulting from operation of the landfills is ongoing. A risk assessment will be completed when the ongoing investigations are finished. A final Record of Decision will be issued when the risk assessment is finalized, and it will address all of the CERCLA criteria applicable to the final remedy.

3. Comment:

In order to evaluate the proposed alternatives for LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills, the agencies utilized the CERCLA Criteria for selecting a remedy. The agencies made a determination that the removal of the landfill contents was not feasible. Thus none of the alternatives evaluated were a permanent solution. In addition none of the alternative in any way addressed the treatment or reduction or toxicity of the waste. Therefore the proposed plan is merely evaluating what type of cap is to be placed over the landfill. The agencies must evaluate alternatives that in some way lessen the toxicity of the waste through methods of waste treatment. The landfills appear to be hydrologically connected to Caddo Lake which is drinking water source and deserves to be protected. Reduction of waste toxicity should have been considered as an alternative to provide environmental protection.

Response:

Treatment or the reduction of toxicity of the landfill waste is not feasible due to the contents, location, and volume of waste placed in the landfill. Therefore, the only method of treatment or reduction of toxicity of the waste would be to remove and treat the entire contents of the landfills. Removing the waste would require opening the landfills and excavating the contents. This would unnecessarily endanger the delicate ecosystems of Central Creek, Harrison Bayou, and Caddo Lake by exposing the contents of the landfill to direct physical contact with rainwater. Placing a cap on landfills is the accepted policy of the U.S. Army, and EPA when addressing concerns related to contamination resulting from the operation of landfills. EPA's "Presumptive Remedy" for landfills is containment of the waste by capping the landfill. Groundwater concerns will be addressed when the ongoing investigations and risk assessment are completed.

4. Comment:

The alternatives were evaluated to determine compliance with applicable, relevant and appropriate requirements (ARAR's). The ARAR's evaluated were only those applicable to the construction of landfill caps. In other Proposed Plans for Superfund Sites, the ARAR's also define the levels to which waste will be treated or remediated. In the case of LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills, the waste will in no way be treated or stabilized. Only a cap will be placed over the waste. Thus a restricted set of ARAR's were evaluated for compliance of the alternatives. A complete set of ARAR's should be utilized to evaluate the alternatives.

Response:

This is a limited scope interim remedial action and may not be the final solution. The U.S. Army, EPA, and TNRCC agree that a full set of ARARs was evaluated for this limited action. A complete set of all ARARs will be examined in the final ROD for these sites.

5. Comment:

The alternatives were evaluated for long term effectiveness and permanence. Since none of the alternatives were designed to address a permanent solution only the long-term effectiveness was evaluated. Even with two layers of protection in the landfill caps, if the U.S. Army does not regularly inspect the integrity of the cap and perform long-term (forever) maintenance, the effectiveness of the cap will be destroyed and infiltration of rainwater will occur. Thus the long-term effectiveness is only as good as the efforts provided by the U.S. Army. A program of assurance, financial security and citizens input must be implemented in order to insure that financial resources are available forever in order to maintain the integrity of the cap.

Response:

Agree, this interim remedial action does not address the issue of a permanent solution. The Federal Government (U.S. Army) is not required to provide assurance of financial

security for CERCLA sites. CERCLA requires an evaluation of the remedial action to ensure the integrity and performance of the landfill cap and to determine if the remedy is protective of human health and the environment. The public can provide input for the five-year reviews. If the landfill caps are not providing protection of human health and the environment, additional regulatory action could be pursued. The U.S. Army will supply the necessary resources to provide long-term maintenance of the landfill cap.

6. Comment:

The fourth criteria for evaluation of the alternatives is the reduction of toxicity, mobility or volume or contaminants. The proposed plan indicates that none of the alternatives even attempt to meet these criteria. The agencies state that removal is not feasible. However, they did not even propose any alternatives that would have reduced the toxicity or mobility of the waste. These issues must be addressed by the agencies.

Response:

The intent of the criteria is reduction of toxicity, mobility, or volume of contaminants through treatment. The alternative does not meet the intent of the criteria; however, the cap will reduce mobility by eliminating infiltration of water through contaminants and subsequent release of contaminants. The treatment of landfill contents is not a feasible option and was not considered. See the response to Comment 3 for a discussion of the feasibility of reducing the toxicity of landfill contents.

7. Comment:

The alternatives were evaluated for short term effectiveness. The short term impacts have been determined to be dust and noise which impact workers on site and plant personnel. Safeguards are proposed to minimize the short term impacts. Uncertain Audubon should request that we be allowed to review and comment on the proposed measures to be utilized to reduce risk. We would also like to request the opportunity to comment during the construction phase in order to address issues that arise during this phase.

Response:

The public will not be exposed to short-term risks because LHAAP is a controlled facility and the public will not be allowed on site. The safety of the workers is the responsibility of the contractor and will be addressed in the Site Safety and Health Plan which will follow the appropriate OSHA regulations. Issues that arise during the construction phase of this remedial action can be addressed during the Technical Review Committee (TRC) meetings. The intent of the criteria is to assess short-term risks to the workers, the community, and the time factor. Cleanup technologies often require several years for implementation. A potential remedy is evaluated for the length of time required for implementation and the potential impact on human health and the environment during the remedial action.

8. Comment:

The criteria for implementability deals with the issues of labor and heavy equipment operating conditions. Requirements should be placed on heavy equipment contractors, etc. allowed to perform the work. Those companies that profited from the improper waste disposal that created the site should not be allowed to bid on contracts or subcontracts to remedy the site.

Response:

The contracting actions for this remedial action will follow all U.S. Government contracting guidelines. The waste disposal activities at these landfills were not considered "improper waste disposal" at the time. LHAAP followed the standard government and private industry waste disposal practices during operation of the landfills.

9. Comment:

The criteria for community acceptance should be expanded by the environmental group. In addition to the other issues pointed out, Uncertain Audubon should request that we be allowed to review and comment on the design and implementation phases of the project. The level of detail is totally inadequate for our group to accept the alternative and never again have input into the process during the design and implementation phases. The review and comment mechanism we are requesting must be in addition to the process set forth in the Community Relations Plan.

Response:

The standard Corps of Engineers review process will be used during the design. Following this process is necessary to complete reviews and contracting actions on time and within budget. The standard review process includes internal reviews by the Corps of Engineers, reviews by the customer (LHAAP), and regulatory review (EPA and TNRCC). Plans can be provided to interested persons within the community and comments can be made during the TRC meetings.

10. Comment:

The proposed plan contains a description of the site history. The history section describing LHAAP 12 indicates that disposal ceased in April 1994. The history continues with a section that describes the treated soil from the Early Interim Remedial action at Burning Ground No. 3 that will be placed on the LHAAP 12 landfill. In addition it is stated that the Early Interim Remedial Action for the LHAAP 12 landfill will not begin until the Burning Ground No. 3 Early Interim Remedial Action is complete.

Response:

The Early Interim Remedial Action at the LHAAP 12 Landfill could begin concurrently with the Early Interim Remedial Action for Burning Ground No. 3. The sentence should read: The Early Interim Remedial Action for the LHAAP 12 Landfill will not be completed until the Burning Ground No. 3 Early Interim Remedial Action is complete.

The LHAAP 12 landfill surface could be cleared and prepared for placement of the treated soil from Burning Ground No. 3. The treated soil could be hauled to the LHAAP 12 Landfill and placed as the treated soil is produced at Burning Ground No. 3.

11. Comment:

What is the time frame for the generation of the treated soil from the Burning Ground No. 3?

Response:

The soil identified in the Early Interim Remedial Action for Burning Ground No. 3 will be excavated and treated over an 18-month period.

12. Comment:

What contaminants at what concentrations will be contained in the treated material?

Response:

The excavated soil and source material will be treated according to the requirements of the Land Disposal Restrictions (40 CFR Part 268) under RCRA, pursuant to the Treatability Variance (40 CFR 268.44) granted in the May 1995 ROD. The treatment level range that was established for the Treatability Variance is a 90 to 99.9 percent reduction in the concentration of the contaminants upon the completion of the treatment process. The primary contaminants of concern are trichloroethylene and methylene chloride.

13. Comment:

Is the LHAAP 12 landfill an appropriate location to dispose of the treated material? Can the landfill properly contain the waste? The landfill is not lined and has already caused ground water contamination and has the potential for contamination of surface water resources.

Response:

Yes, the U.S. Army, EPA, and TNRCC have agreed the LHAAP 12 Landfill is an appropriate location to dispose of treated soil from Burning Ground No. 3. The LHAAP 12 Landfill can properly contain the waste. However, the LHAAP 12 Landfill may be too small to handle all of the treated soil; therefore, the U.S. Army, EPA, and TNRCC have agreed to place some of the treated soil on the LHAAP 16 Landfill, if necessary. The mobility of contaminants within the treated soil will be reduced by the treatment process. Also, the cap will prevent water from infiltrating and coming into contact with the treated soil; therefore, the potential for additional contamination of the surface water does not exist.

14. Comment:

Will the contaminants in the waste further threaten the ground water and surface water resources in the area?

Response:

No, the contaminants in the treated soil from Burning Ground No. 3 will not further threaten the groundwater or surface water resources in the area. See the response to Comment 13 for additional explanation.

15. Comment:

What specific area(s) of LHAAP 12 will be utilized to dispose of the treated soil? Will any special protective measure and isolation techniques be employed to prevent migration of the contaminants remaining in the treated soil?

Response:

The treated soil from Burning Ground No. 3 will be placed across the entire surface of the LHAAP 12 Landfill. Any remaining treated soil that cannot be placed at the LHAAP 12 Landfill will be placed on the LHAAP 16 Landfill. No treated soil will be placed below the 100-year floodplain elevation for Harrison Bayou. No special measures and isolation techniques, other than placement of the landfill cap, will be used to prevent migration of contaminants from the treated soil.

16. Comment:

The ground water beneath the landfills is very near the surface. At landfill LHAAP 12 the ground water is 20 to 25 feet below the landfill surface and at LHAAP 16, 5 to 10 feet below the landfill surface. The ground surface elevation at landfill LHAAP 16 is 15 feet above original grade. Thus waste in the landfills has the potential to be in contact with the ground water. The alternatives as proposed do not take into account the shallow ground water levels or the potential problems the ground water level may have on the integrity of the proposed caps. Will the ground water cause heave in the cap and disrupt the integrity of the cap? Will the ground water flow patterns be altered by the caps and cause additional leaching of contaminants by being in longer contact with the waste?

Response:

The groundwater investigations at both sites are ongoing. Issues concerning groundwater will be addressed in the final ROD upon completion of the risk assessments for the sites. However, the landfill caps will virtually eliminate the recharge of the groundwater over the landfill areas. Therefore, the groundwater elevations may be lowered thereby decreasing the chances of groundwater coming into contact with the waste. The integrity of the cap will not be compromised by the groundwater. The groundwater flow patterns will change because the caps will lower the groundwater by decreasing the recharge of groundwater beneath the caps. The caps will reduce the leaching of contaminants.

17. Comment:

The ground water at each of the landfill sites has been impacted by waste from the landfills. The migration of the contaminated ground water has the potential to impact ground water wells as well as surface water resources which are utilized as a source of

drinking water. The proposed alternatives did not address the need to investigate methods of isolating the contaminated ground water plumes. If after the completion of the Remedial Investigation phase such structures as slurry walls and/or under drains or recovery wells are determined to be necessary to prevent further contamination of ground water and surface water resources, it is possible that these structures may be impaired by the currently proposed cap system. It would seem appropriate that the issues of remedies to address the ground water contamination should have been included in the proposed alternative. At a minimum, during the design phase of the landfill caps, the available ground water data and associated risks should be elevated in order to plan for future appropriate remedial structures necessary to address the ground water problems.

Response:

The groundwater investigation is ongoing; however, the landfill caps will not impair the placement of slurry walls, collection trenches, recovery wells, or other plume containment methods that may be required by a final remedial action. These plume containment structures could be constructed with minimal disturbance of the landfill caps at the edges of the landfills.

18. Comment:

The ground water contaminated by the landfill sites is in contact with surface water resources. Thus there is a high potential for the contaminated ground water to enter the surface water system. The proposed alternatives completely failed to address this issue. No mechanism was proposed to interrupt the flow of contaminated ground water into the surface waters.

Response:

Refer to the response to Comment 2 regarding groundwater contamination concerns.

19. Comment:

The eastern end of the LHAAP 16 landfill is in the flood plain of Harrison Bayou and the toe of the landfill is adjacent to a tributary of Harrison Bayou. the proposed plan did not address the construction of a landfill cap in a flood plain. No provisions were made to isolate the landfill cap from the bayou flood waters. The flood waters could disrupt the integrity of the cap during every rainfall event that caused the bayou waters to come in contact with the landfill cap. No provision was made to isolate the contaminated ground water in the area of the flood plain from the surface water resources of Harrison Bayou.

Response:

The LHAAP 16 Landfill slopes that may come into contact with flood waters from Harrison Bayou will be designed to ensure the integrity of the slopes during periods of high water. The ARARs concerning construction of a fill in a floodplain were cited in the Proposed Plan. Refer to the response to Comment 2 regarding groundwater contamination concerns.

20. Comment:

The sediments of Harrison Bayou adjacent to and downslope of LHAAP 16 landfill are contaminated by explosives. The proposed alternatives failed to address the continued discharge of contaminated ground water into the surface water resources of Harrison Bayou and Central Creek.

Response:

The full nature and extent of contamination has not been defined at the LHAAP 12 and 16 landfills. Yes, explosives were detected in Harrison Bayou. See document LHAAP contamination survey (EPS, 1984). Phase I RI activities included sampling for explosives in the bayou; however, no explosives were found. Investigations to define the nature and extent of contamination at the landfills are ongoing. These investigations include additional sampling of soil, sediments, and groundwater. A risk assessment for the sites will be completed when the nature and extent of contamination is known. The final ROD will address any soil, sediment, or groundwater contamination.

APPENDIX C INDEX OF ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

VOLUME 1 of 1

1976

A. Title:

Final Report - AEHA Water Quality Special Study

No. 24-0586-77

Group(s):

2 (Partial), 4 Site($s\bar{)}$:

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground Agency: U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground Author(s): Recipient: Commander, USA Material Development And Readiness Command

Date: August 2 - 10, 1976

Bate Stamp: 000001 - 000032

VOLUME 1 of 1

1978

A. Title:

Final Report - AEHA Air And Water Pollution Survey

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-3 Building 72 - Paint Shop

LHAAP-8 Sewage Treatment Plant

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-46 Plant 2 / Pyrotechnic Operation LHAAP-47 Plant 3 / Produces Motor Assemblies LHAAP-48 Y Area / Produces Hand Signal Assemblies LHAAP-51 Photographic Laboratory / Building 60B

LHAAP-53 Static Test Area

LHAAP-58 Maintenance Complex

LHAAP-Main Power Plant & Water Supply LHAAP-Storage of Solvents and Chemicals

LHAAP-Salvage Yard for Cardboard & Paper LHAAP-Heating Plant and Sanitary Sewage for Administration Area

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Horacek, Smith, Painter & Spitz, Incorporated Company: Author(s): Horacek, Smith, Painter & Spitz, Incorporated Recipient: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineer, Fort Worth Division Date:

April 20, 1978 Bate Stamp: 000033 - 000077

YOLUME 1 of 1

1980

A. Title:

Final Report - AEHA Land Disposal Study

No. 38-26-0104-81-82

Group(s): Site(s):

2 (Partial), 3 (Partial) LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-14 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground Agency: U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground Author(s): Recipient: Commander, USA Material Development and Readiness Command

Date: January 23 - February 8, 1980

Bate Stamp: 000078 - 000196

VOLUME 1 of 1

1984

Α. Title: Final Analysis Report - Contamination Analysis Report for Environmental

Contamination Survey of the Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-16 Old Land Fill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: **Environmental Protection Systems, Inc** Author(s): **Environmental Protection Systems, Inc**

Recipient: Thiokol Corporation / Longhorn Division For Submission To U.S. Army Toxic and

Hazardous Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD. 21010

Date: March 14, 1983 - Revised May 3, 1984

Bate Stamp: 000197 - 000505

B. Title: Closure Documents - Closure Of Unlined Evaporation Pond Group(s): 2 (Partial)

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Kindle, Stone & Associates, Inc. Author(s): Kindle, Stone & Associates, Inc.

Recipient: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Huntsville Division

Date: July 15, 1984 Bate Stamp: 000506 - 000880

VOLUME 1 of 1

1985

Α. Title: Newspaper Article - Despite Caddo Protest, Panel Oks Waste Discharge Permit Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Company:

Marshall News Messenger

Author(s): Jim Davis Recipient: U. S. Public

Date: February 28, 1985

Bate Stamp: 000881

B. Title: Scope of Work - Specifications For Closure Of Unlined Evaporation Pond

Project No. DACA 87-84-C-0039

Group(s): 2 (Partial)

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Site(s): Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Agency:

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers Author(s): Kindle, Stone & Associates, Inc

Recipient: **Bidders**

Date: August, 1985 Bate Stamp: 000882 - 001178

C. Title: **Memorandum** - AEHA Solid Waste Consultation

Sanitary Landfill Closure Plan

No. 38-26-0498-86

Group(s): 2 (Partial)

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill Site(s):

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground Agency: Author(s):

U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency

Commander, USA Material Development And Readiness Command Recipient: Date:

December 28, 1985 Bate Stamp: 001179 - 001189

VOLUME 1 of 1

1986

A. Title: Letter of Receipt - Certification Of Closure Of Unlined Evaporation Pond Group(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond And Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Agency:

Texas Water Commission Author(s):

Merton J. Coloton, P.E., Chief Recipient:

U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Date:

June 13, 1986

Bate Stamp: 004327

Note: Bate Stamp Number 004327 Is Out of Sequence From Volume 1 of 1990, C.

VOLUME 1 of 2

1988

A. Title: Final Analytical Data - Analytical Results, As Defined In The Contamination Study At

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site(s):

2 (Partial) LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Author(s):

Environmental Protection Systems, Inc. Environmental Protection Systems, Inc.

Recipient:

Mr. Bruce Childress, Jr., Morton Thiokol Corporation

Date:

March 31, 1988

Bate Stamp: 001190 - 001251

VOLUME 2 of 2

1988

B. Title: Final Report - RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA)

Group(s): All

Site(s):

Location:

LHAAP-1 through LHAAP-34
Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas
Texas Water Commission
Texas Water Commission

Agency: Author(s):

Recipient:

U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date:

April 8, 1988

Bate Stamp: 001252 - 001909

VOLUME 1 of 5

1989

A. Title:

Final Report - Phase I RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI)

Active Burning Ground and Unlined Evaporation Pond

Volume 1 - Text

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Agency:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District

Author(s):

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District
U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District
U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District

Recipient:

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Huntsville Division July, 1989

Date: J

Bate Stamp: 001910 - 002056

B. Title:

Final Report - Phase I RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI)

Active Burning Ground and Unlined Evaporation Pond

Volume 2 - Sheet Drawings

Group(s): 2 (Partial)

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Author(s):

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Huntsville Division

Recipient: Date:

July, 1989

Bate Stamp: 002057 - 002088

VOLUME 2 of 5

1989

C. Title:

Final Report- Phase I Groundwater Quality Assessment

Active Burning Ground and Unlined Evaporation Pond

Site(s):

Volume 3 - (Appendices A Through K)
LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond
Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Location: Agency:

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District

Author(s):

Recipient: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Huntsville Division February, 1989
Bate Stamp: 002089 - 002569

VOLUME 3 of 5

1989

D. Title:

Final Report - Phase I RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI)

Active Burning Ground and Unlined Evaporation Pond

Volume 4 - Appendixes L through BB

Group(s):

2 (Partial)

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Site(s): Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Author(s):

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Huntsville Division

Recipient: Date:

July, 1989

Bate Stamp: 002570 - 003041

VOLUME 4 of 5

1989

E. Title: Final Report - Phase I RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI)

Active Burning Ground and Unlined Evaporation Pond

Volume 5 - Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA / QC) 2 (Partial)

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Location: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District Agency: Author(s):

U.S. Army Engineer Division, Huntsville Corps Of Engineers Recipient:

Date:

July, 1989

Bate Stamp: 003042 - 003504

VOLUME 5 of 5

1989

F. Title: Newspaper Article - EPA Targets Longhorn Plant

Group(s): All

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Longview Newspaper

Author(s): Lee Cole Recipient: U.S. Public Date: July 14, 1989

Bate Stamp: 003505

Note: Bate Stamp Number 003506 Is A Blank Page

G. Newspaper Article - East Texas Ammunition Plant is Superfund Candidate, Dated Title: Friday, July 14, 1989

Group(s): All

Site(s): General Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Company: The Dallas Morning Star

Author(s): Jennifer Dixon Recipient: U.S. Public Date: July 14, 1989

Bate Stamp: 003507

H. Final Analytical Data - Phase II Field Data RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) Title:

Group(s): 2 (Partial)

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Site(s):

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location: Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Worth District Author(s):

U.S. Army Engineer Division, Huntsville Corps Of Engineer Recipient:

Date: September, 1989 Bate Stamp: 003508 - 004034

I. Title: Letter - Subject: LHAAP, Karnack, Texas

Proposed Permit No. HW-50195 Industrial Solid Waste Registration No. 30090

Texas Water Commission - Permit for Industrial Solid Waste Management Site Attach(s):

Group(s): All Site($s\bar{)}$: General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Author(s): Minor Brooks Hibbs, Chief Permits Section

VOLUME 5 of 5 (Continued)

1989

Recipient: Mr Don Maley, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: November 30, 1989 Bate Stamp: 004035 - 004126

J. Title: Brochure - Welcome To Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Group(s): All

Site(s): General
Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Morehall Time

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas
Company: Thiokol Corporation And U.S.Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Hal Cornish, General Manager, Thiokol, Corporation, Operating Contractor

Ltc. Jeffrey W. Russell, Commanding Officer Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant.

Recipient: U.S. Public December, 1989

Bate Stamp: 004127, 006640 - 006666

Note: Bate Stamp Numbers 006640 - 006666 Are Out of Sequence From Volume 3 of 1993, O.

VOLUME 1 of 1

1990

A. Title: Army Regulation 200-1 - Environmental Quality

Environmental Protection and Enhancement

Group(s): All

Site(s): General

Location: Washington D.C.

Department Of the Army Agency: Author(s): Department of the Army Recipient: Department of the Army

Date: April 23, 1990 Bate Stamp: 004128 - 004219

В. Title: **Letter** - Subject: LHAAP Interagency Agreement

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Allyn M. Davis, Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division

Jeffrey W. Russell, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient:

Date: September 11, 1990

Bate Stamp: 004220

C. **Document** - Final Guidance On Administrative Records For Selecting CERCLA Title:

Response Action

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Washington D.C.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 And The Department Of The Army Agency:

Author(s): U.S. Army

Recipient: Regional Administrator Date: **December 3, 1990** Bate Stamp: 004221 - 004326

Note: 004327 Used in Volume 1 of 1986, A.

VOLUME 1 of 1

1991

Α. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: LHAAP National Priority List (NPL) Superfund Site

Notice of Negotiation Period for Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) Group(s): All

Site(s): General

Location: Marshall, Texas

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Agency:

Allyn M. Davis, Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division Author(s): Recipient: Lieutenant Colonel Jeffery Russell

Date: January 2, 1991

Bate Stamp: 004328

B. Title:

<u>Letter</u> - Subject: Confirmation of Willingness to Negotiate Federal Facility Agreement (FFA)

Group(s): All

Site(s): General Location: Dallas, Texas Agency:

U.S. Navy Author(s):

Jeffrey W. Russell, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Navy Recipient: Renee Holmes, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Date: January 16, 1991 Bate Stamp: 004329 - 004330

C. Title: Newspaper Article - Pentagon lists 938 toxic sites across Texas

Group(s): Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: The Dallas Morning News

Author(s): **Associated Press** Recipient: U.S. Public Date: April 3, 1991

Bate Stamp: 004331

D. Title:

Final Agreement - Federal Facility Agreement Under CERCLA Section 120, U.S. Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Group(s): All Site($s\bar{)}$:

General

Location:

Washington D.C.

Agency:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 And The Department Of The Army Author(s): U.S. Army

Recipient: U.S. Government Date: September, 1991

VOLUME 1 of 1 (Continued)

1991

Bate Stamp: 004332 - 004400

E. Title:

Public Notice - Proof Of Publication From Marshall News Messenger Titled Public

Notice Of Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Interagency Agreement Group(s):

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): **Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant**

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army and Texas Water Commission Author(s): U.S. Army and Texas Water Commission

Recipient: U.S. Public

Date: October 23, 1991

Bate Stamp: 004401

F. Title: Letter - LHAAP Proof of Publication -IAG

Attach(s): Proof of Publication from Marshall News Messenger, Marshall, Texas

Group(s): Site(s): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: Department of the Army

Author(s): Michael K. Cobb, Sr., Contract Operations Officer

Recipient: U.S. Public

Date: November 6, 1991

Bate Stamp: 004402

G. Title: Letter - Subject: Public Announcement of Federal Facility Agreement for LHAAP -

No Comments Were Received

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of the Army, LHAAP

Author(s): Lt. Colonel Robert W. Bringman, Commanding Officer, LHAAP

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, USEPA Date: December 16, 1991

Bate Stamp: 004403

H. Title: Letter - Subject: Notice Of Effective Date Of Federal Agreement

Group(s): Site($s\bar{}$): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Robert E. Layton Jr. P.E., Regional Director

VOLUME 1 of 1 (Continued)

1991

Recipient: Lt. Colonel Robert W. Bringman, Commanding Officer, LHAAP Date: December 18, 1991
Bate Stamp: 004404 - 004405

VOLUME 1 of 4

1992

A. Title: **<u>Letter</u>** - Subject: Draft Schedules

Attach(s): Schedules Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Author(s): Frank W. Parker, U.S. Army

Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: January 17, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004406 - 004435

В. Title: Letter - Subject: Meeting On ARARs

Group(s): Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Randall J. Miller, Captain, U.S. Army

Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: January 27, 1992

Bate Stamp: 004436

C. Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Schedule Title:

Attach(s): Schedules

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: February 5, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004437 - 004442

D. Title: Letter - Subject: TWC's Comments On Draft Schedule

Attach(s): Schedules Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission

Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: **February 6, 1992** Bate Stamp: 004443 - 004446

VOLUME 1 of 4 (Continued)

1992

E. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Revised Draft Schedules

Attach(s): Schedules

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Author(s): Frank W. Parker, U.S. Army

Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: February 19, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004447 - 004455

F. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Approval Of Revised Draft Schedules

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Texas Water Commission

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission

Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: February 24, 1992

Bate Stamp: 004456

G. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Submission Of Community Relations Plan

Group(s): All
Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): R. Terry Coomes, Chief, Engineering Division, U.S. Army Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: February 24, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004457 - 004459

H. Title: Letter - Subject: Submission of Draft Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI / FS)

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location:
Agency:
Author(s):

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District
R. Terry Coomes, P.E., Chief, Engineering Division

VOLUME 1 of 4 (Continued)

1992

Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Date: February 27, 1992

Bate Stamp: 004460

I. Title: Letter - Subject: Scheduling Of First TRC Meeting Group(s):

All Site($s\bar{}$): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency: Author(s):

Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Date:

March 3, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004461 - 004463

J. Title: Progress Report - Technical Review Committee, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Site(s):

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: **Environmental Protection Agency** Author(s): **Environmental Protection Agency**

Recipient: U.S. Army

Texas Water Commission Local Government Agencies

Date: March 10, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004464 - 004493

Letter - Draft Initial Remedial Action / Data Quality Objectives K. Title:

Group(s): 1,2, and 3

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds Site(s):

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill & Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Waste Disposal Plant LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers

VOLUME 1 of 4 (Continued)

1992

Author(s): Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Date:

March 13, 1992

Bate Stamp: 004494

L. Title: Response To Comments - Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI / FS) Draft Work Plan

Group(s): 1,2, and 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds
LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill & Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Waste Disposal Plant LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Location: Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient: Date:

March 27, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004495 - 004543

M. Title: Response To Comments - Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Community Relations Plan

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Environmental Protection Agency Agency:

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Author(s): Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: March 27, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004544 - 004548

N. Title: <u>Letter</u> - TWC's Comments On Draft Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study Work Plan

VOLUME 1 of 4 (Continued)

1992

Initial Remedial Action / Data Quality Objectives, And Community Relations Plan

Group(s): 1,2, & 3

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area,

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission

Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: April 1, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004549 - 004578

O. Title:

Location:

Letter - EPA's Comments On Draft Initial Remedial Action / Data Quality Objectives

Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Location: Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforce Author(s): Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

April 14, 1992 Date: Bate Stamp: 004579 - 004584

VOLUME 1 of 4 (Continued)

1992

P. Final Plan - Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Community Relations Plan Title:

Group(s): All

General

Site(s): Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: May, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004585 - 004652

Title: Letter - Response To Request For Extension Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Q.

Study

Group(s): 1,2, & 3

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission

Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: May 13, 1992

Bate Stamp: 004653

Location:

R. Letter - Army's Response To Comments Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Title:

Study

Group(s): 1,2, & 3

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

VOLUME 1 of 4 (Continued)

1992

LHAAP-17 Burnin Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s):

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforce Recipient:

May 14, 1992 Date: Bate Stamp: 004654 - 004659

S. Title:

Location:

Letter - Subject: Army's Response To Comments Revised Community Relations Plan

Attach(s) Comments

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location: U. S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency: Author(s): Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U. S. Army

Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Date: May 18, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004660 - 004662

T. Title:

Letter - Subject: Draft Revised Schedules

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: May 19, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004663 - 004665

U. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Revised Schedule

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

015757

LONGHORN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT KARNACK, TEXAS ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD - CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

VOLUME 1 of 4 (Continued)

1992

Date: May 29, 1992 Bate Stamp: 004666 - 004667

VOLUME 2 of 4

1992

V. Title: Final Workplan - Phase I Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI / FS) Work

Plan

Volume 1 - General

Group(s):

1.2, and 3

Site(s):

Location:

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: June, 1992

Bate Stamp: 004668 - 005119

VOLUME 3 of 4

1992

W. Final Workplan - Phase I Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI / FS) Work Title:

Plan

Volume 2 - Chemical Data Acquisition

Group(s):

1,2, and 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Location: Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: June, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005120 - 005319

X. Title:

Final Work Plan - Phase I Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI / FS) Work

Volume 3 - Site Safety And Health Plan

Group(s): 1,2, and 3

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & O

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Location:

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

VOLUME 3 of 4 (Continued)

1992

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: June, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005320 - 005390

Y. Letter - Subject: Scheduling Of June 1992 TRC Meeting Title:

Group(s): Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: June 1, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005391 - 005393

Z. Letter - Revised Draft Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Work Plan Title:

Attach(s) **Response Comments**

Group(s): 1,2, & 3

Location:

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds Site(s):

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Author(s): Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforce Recipient:

Date: June 11, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005394 - 005544

VOLUME 4 of 4

1992

Α. Title: Letter - TWC's Response to Comments on Draft Phase I Remedial Investigation /

Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Work Plan Budget And Schedule

Group(s): 1.2. & 3

Site(s): **LHAAP-1** Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Activ Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old &ActiveLandfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission

Recipient: Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: July 10, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005545 - 005548

В. Title: Letter - Subject: Response To Comments Revised Community Relations Plan

Attach(s) Comments

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location: U. S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency: Author(s): Michael K. Cobb, Contract Operations Officer

Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: July 14, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005549 - 005567

C. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: LHAAP Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI / FS) Work Plan

Group(s): Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

VOLUME 4 of 4 (Continued)

1992

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, RI/FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section, Pollution Cleanup

Recipient: Lynn Mucklerath, Project Manager, LHAAP

Date: July 17, 1992

Bate Stamp: 005568

D. Title: Memorandum - Response to Comments on Draft Phase I Remedial Investigation /

Feasibility Study (RÎ/FS) Work Plan

Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers

R. Terry Coomes, Chief, Engineering Division, U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Fort Author(s): Worth District

Commander, U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: August 12, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005569 - 005598

E. Title: FAX - Subject: DERPMIS List

Attach(s): List Group(s): Ali Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: August 13, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005599 - 005601

F. Title: Letter - Subject: Meeting, LHAAP, for Technical Review Committee - December 8,

VOLUME 4 of 4 (Continued)

1992

1993

Attach(s): Meeting Agenda

Attendance Lists

Group(s): \overline{All}

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: September 3, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005602 - 005605

G. Title: <u>Letter</u> - TWC 's Approval Of Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Work

Plan

Group(s): 1,2, & 3

Site(s): LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-32 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Texas Water Commission

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission

Recipient: Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: September 14, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005606 - 005607

H. Title: Letter - EPA's Approval Of Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Work

Plan

Group(s): 1,2, & 3

Location:

Site(s): LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

VOLUME 4 of 4 (Continued)

<u> 1992</u>

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area,

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforce Author(s): Recipient: Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: **September 14, 1992**

Bate Stamp: 005608

I. Letter - Subject: Need For Interim Action At Unlined Evaporation Pond & Burning Title:

Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP - 18 LHAAP - 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Author(s): Recipient: Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: October 6, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005609 - 005610

J. Title: Letter - Subject: Comments On DERPMIS List

Attach(s): List Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: October 13, 1992 Bate Stamp: 005611 - 005612

K. Letter - Subject: Meeting, LHAAP, for Technical Review Committee - December 8, 1993 Title:

VOLUME 4 of 4 (Continued)

1992

Attach(s): Meeting Agenda

Group(s): All

Site(s): General

Location:

Agency:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas
Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant
Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army
Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency
December 1, 1992 Author(s): Recipient:

Date: Bate Stamp: 005613 - 005614

VOLUME 1 of 5

1993

A. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Meeting, LHAAP, for Technical Review Committee - December 8, 1993 Attach(s):

Meeting Agenda

Group(s): All

Site($s\bar{}$): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: March 2, 1993 Bate Stamp: 005615 - 005616

B. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft RI / FS Work Plan Addendum To Include Site 1A Group(s):

1 (Partial)

Site(s): LHAAP - 1A Inert Burning Ground

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location: Agency: Department Of The Army, U.S. Corps Of Engineers Author(s): Robert W. Bringman, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient:

Date:

March 10, 1993

Bate Stamp: 005617

C. Final Report - Waste Sump Inventory Remedial Investigation (RI) Title:

Group(s):

LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various Site(s):

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: April, 1993 Bate Stamp: 005618 - 005763

D. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Requested Change In Quantification Limits For Detection Of Explosives

in Ground Water Samples

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Department Of The Army, U.S. Corps Of Engineers Agency:

Author(s): Robert W. Bringman

VOLUME 1 of 5 (Continued)

1993

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

April 1, 1993

Bate Stamp: 005764 - 005765

VOLUME 2 of 5

1993

E. Title: Final Analytical Data - Data Summary Report Of Investigation Results From 1976

Through 1992 For Burning Ground 3 & The Unlined Evaporation Pond

Group(s): 2 (Partial)

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location: Agency:

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineer, Tulsa District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers Author(s):

Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Date: May, 1993
Bate Stamp: 005766 - 006305

VOLUME 3 of 5

1993

F. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Workplan For Phase I Investigations OF 125 Waste Process

Attach(s): Sumps And 20 Waste Rack Sumps, May 1993
Comments - EPA Comments Of Workplan For Phase I

Group(s): 4

Site(s): LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Department Of The Army, U.S. Corps Of Engineers

Author(s): Robert W. Bringman

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: May 13, 1993

Bate Stamp: 006306

G. Title: Final Workplan - Phase I Investigations Of 125 Waste Process Sumps And 20 Waste

Rack Sumps for Remedial Investigation

Soil And Groundwater Background Concentration Study

Attach(s): Chain Of Custody Field Data Forms

Group(s): 4

Site(s): LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District
Author(s): U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District
Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: June, 1993

Bate Stamp: 006307 - 006402

H. Title: Memorandum - Subject: Summary Data Report For Unlined Evaporation Pond /

Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP - 18 & LHAAP - 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Robert W. Bringman

Recipient: Distribution

Date: June 4, 1993

Bate Stamp: 006403

I. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Workplan For Phase I Investigations OF 125 Waste Process

Sumps And 20 Waste Rack Sumps, May 1993

VOLUME 3 of 5 (Continued)

1993

Attach(s): Comments - EPA Comments Of Workplan For Phase I

Group(s):

LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various Site(s):

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Author(s): Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: June 14, 1993 Bate Stamp: 006404 - 006405

J. Title: Letter - Subject: Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI / FS) Work Plan For

Attach(s): EPA Comments Of Phase I Draft Workplan

Group(s):

LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various Site(s):

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission Author(s):

Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: June 15, 1993 Bate Stamp: 006406 - 006409

K. Letter - Subject: Additional TWC Comments On Draft RI / FS For 125 Waste Rack Title: Sumps And 20 Waste Rack Sum,ps

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission

Lynn Muckelrath, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient: Date:

June 17, 1993 Bate Stamp: 006410 - 006411

L. Meeting Agenda - LHAAP Technical Review Committee Meeting, March 10, 1994 Title:

Attach(s): **Attendance List**

Schedule - Phase I Investigations

Group(s): All

Site(s): General

VOLUME 3 of 5 (Continued)

1993

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army

Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Recipient: Meeting Participants
Date: June 22, 1993
Bate Stamp: 006412 - 006417

M. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA And TWC Approval Of RI / FS Work Plan Addendum For Site 1

Group(s): 1 (Partial)

Site(s): LHAAP - 1 Inert Burning Grounds

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: August 18, 1993

Bate Stamp: 006418

N. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Work Plan For Additional Investigation At Unlined Evaporation

Pond / Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: August 31, 1993

Bate Stamp: 006419

O. Title: Final Workplan - Phase I Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI / FS)

Work Plan Addendum

Group(s): 1

Site(s): LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Author(s): Roy F. Weston, Inc.

Recipient: U.S. Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: September, 1993 Bate Stamp: 006420 - 006639

Note: 006640 - 006666 Used in Volume 5 of 1989, J.

VOLUME 4 of 5

1993

P. Final Workplan - Remedial Investigation (RI) Site Health & Safety Plan Title:

Group(s): 1 (Partial)

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Author(s): Roy F. Weston, Inc.

Recipient: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: September, 1993 Bate Stamp: 006667 - 006882

Title: Q. Final Workplan - Remedial Investigation (RI) Chemical Data Acquisition Plan

Addendum For LHAAP-1

Group(s): 1 (Partial)

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Ground**

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Author(s): Roy F. Weston, Inc.

Recipient: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: September, 1993 Bate Stamp: 006883 - 007207

R. Title: Final Workplan - Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI / FS)

Final Work Plan Addendum

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Roy F. Weston, Inc. Author(s): Roy F. Weston, Inc.

Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: September, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007208 - 007246

S. Title: Letter - Subject: Approval Of Final Work Plan For Additional Investigation At Unlined

Evaporation Pond / Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

VOLUME 4 of 5 (Continued)

1993

Date:

September 9, 1993

Bate Stamp: 007247

T. Title: Letter - Subject: Approval Of Final Work Plan For Additional Investigation At Unlined

Evaporation Pond / Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s):

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Texas Water Commission

Author(s):

Michael A. Moore, Superfund Investigation Section

Recipient:

Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant September 13, 1993

Date:

Bate Stamp: 007248 - 007250

U. Title: Meeting Agenda - LHAAP Technical Review Committee Meeting, March 10, 1994 Attendance List

Attach(s):

Summary Of Events During Phase I Sumps Investigation

Group(s):

All

Site(s):

General Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Location: Agency:

U.S. Army

Author(s):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Recipient:

Meeting Participants

Date: September 14, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007251 - 007253

V. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Work Plan For Additional Investigation At Unlined Evaporation

Pond / Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s):

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Author(s): Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Recipient:

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date:

October 6, 1993

Bate Stamp: 007254 - 007275

W. Title: <u>Public Notice</u> - Finding Of No Significant Impact - Environmental Assessment For The

Proposed Treatment Area For Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition

VOLUME 4 of 5 (Continued)

1993

Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Thickol Corporation

Author(s): William A. Sniffen, Environmental Engineer

Recipient: U.S. Public Date: December, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007276 - 007287

Letter - Subject: Meeting, LHAAP, for Technical Review Committee - December 8, 1993 X. Title:

Attach(s): Meeting Agenda

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: December 1, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007288 - 007289

Y. Title: Letter - Draft Revised Schedule Federal Facility Agreement

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: December 2, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007290 - 007313

 \mathbf{Z} . Title: Meeting Agenda - LHAAP Technical Review Committee Meeting, December 8, 1993 Attendance List Attach(s):

Overhead Slide - RI Phase I Accomplishment

Overhead Slide - Environmental Activities Since 16 Nov 93

Overhead Slide - Phase I RI at Area LHAAP-1A

Overhead Slide - Preliminary results from RMIS 35 (Sumps) RI

VOLUME 4 of 5 (Continued)

1993

Overhead Slide - Phase I IRA at Burning Ground #3 & UEP

Group(s): All

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army

Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Recipient: Meeting Participants
Date: December 8, 1993
Bate Stamp: 007314 - 007322

VOLUME 5 of 5

1993

A. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Pilot Study Work Plan For Unlined Evaporation Pond At

Burning Ground No. 3

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3 Group(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site($s\bar{}$): Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: December 13, 1993

Bate Stamp: 007323

В. Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Field Summary Report For Group No. 1 Title: Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Recipient: Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: December 15, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007324 - 007326

C. Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments To Draft Pilot Study Work Plan For Unlined Title:

Evaporation Pond At Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3 LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Author(s): Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Recipient: Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Date: December 28, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007327 - 007334

VOLUME 4 of 5 (Continued)

1993

Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Thiokol Corporation

Author(s): William A. Sniffen, Environmental Engineer

Recipient: U.S. Public Date: December, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007276 - 007287

X. Letter - Subject: Meeting, LHAAP, for Technical Review Committee - December 8, 1993 Title:

Attach(s): Meeting Agenda

Group(s): All

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: **December 1. 1993** Bate Stamp: 007288 - 007289

Y. <u>Letter</u> - Draft Revised Schedule Federal Facility Agreement Title:

Group(s): Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: December 2, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007290 - 007313

 \mathbf{Z} . Title: Meeting Agenda - LHAAP Technical Review Committee Meeting, December 8, 1993 Attach(s):

Attendance List

Overhead Slide - RI Phase I Accomplishment

Overhead Slide - Environmental Activities Since 16 Nov 93

Overhead Slide - Phase I RI at Area LHAAP-1A

Overhead Slide - Preliminary results from RMIS 35 (Sumps) RI

VOLUME 4 of 5 (Continued)

1993

Overhead Slide - Phase I IRA at Burning Ground #3 & UEP

Group(s):

Site(s):

General

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

U.S. Army

Author(s):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District Meeting Participants December 8, 1993

Recipient:

Date:

Bate Stamp: 007314 - 007322

VOLUME 5 of 5

1993

A. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Draft Pilot Study Work Plan For Unlined Evaporation Pond At

Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District
Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army
Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: December 13, 1993

Bate Stamp: 007323

B. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Field Summary Report For Group No. 1

Group(s): 1

Site(s): LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & O

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Environmental Protection Agency

Recipient: Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: December 15, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007324 - 007326

C. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments To Draft Pilot Study Work Plan For Unlined

Evaporation Pond At Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Author(s): Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Recipient: Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Date: December 28, 1993 Bate Stamp: 007327 - 007334

VOLUME 1 of 13

1994

A. Title: Final Workplan - Phase II Pilot Study For Interim Remedial Action (IRA) For Burning

Ground 3 & The Unlined Evaporation Pond

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District U.S. Army Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: January, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007335 - 007473

B. Title: Letter - Subject: TWC's Comments On Draft Field Summary Report For Group No. 1

Site(s): LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & O

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Texas Water Commission

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient: Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: January 11, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007474 - 007478

C. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Final Interim Risk Assessment For Unlined Evaporation Pond /

Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: January 19, 1994

Bate Stamp: 007479

D. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Revised Schedule

Group(s): $\overline{1,2,\&3}$

Site(s): LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

VOLUME 1 of 13 (Continued)

Location:

1994

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Date: January 19, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007480 - 007482

Letter - Subject: Final Pilot Study Work Plan For Unlined Evaporation Pond At E. Title:

Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: January 25, 1994

Bate Stamp: 007483

<u>Letter</u> - Subject: Submission Of Document Statistical Methods Used For Calculation Of F. Title:

Background Levels

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Environmental Protection Agency Agency:

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Date: January 27, 1994

Bate Stamp: 007484

G. Title: Letter - Subject: TWC 's Comments On Pilot Study Work Plan For Unlined

Evaporation Pond At Burning Ground No. 3

VOLUME 1 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission

Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: February 2, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007485 - 007486

H. Final Report - Phase I Interim Remedial Action Stabilization Treatment Study Title:

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site($s\bar{)}$: LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Recra Environmental, Inc. Author(s): Recra Environmental, Inc. AWD Laboratories, Inc. Recipient:

Date: February 4, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007487 - 007563

I. Title: Final Report - Carbon Adsorption And Ion Exchange Treatability Study

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3 Group(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Site(s):

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: AWD Technologies, Inc., Rockville, Md. Author(s): AWD Technologies, Inc., Rockville, Md. Recipient: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: February 7, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007564 - 007842

VOLUME 2 of 13

1994

J. Title: Final Report - Ultrox Technology (O3, H2O2, & UV Light) Treatability Study

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Ultrox, Division of Zimpro Environmental, Inc.

Author(s): William, S. Himebaugh

Recipient: AWD Technologies, Rockville, Md.

Date: February 8, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007843 - 007869

K. Title: <u>Draft Report</u> - Phase I Interim Remedial Action

Evaluation Of Thermal Treatment Of On-Site Contaminated Soils And Buried Waste

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: AWD Technologies, Inc. Author(s): AWD Technologies, Inc.

Recipient: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: February 9, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007870 - 007896

L. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Physical, Surveying And Other Field Data

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: AWD Technologies, Inc.

Author(s): Amine Bou Onk, P.E. Project Manager

Recipient: Mr. Clif B. Warren, P.E. Resident Officer, U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Date: February 17, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007897 - 007985

M. Title: Letter - Subject: Meeting, LHAAP, for Technical Review Committee - March 10, 1994

Attach(s): Meeting Agenda

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: February 22, 1994

Bate Stamp: 007986

VOLUME 2 of 13 (Continued)

1994

N. Letter - Subject: Phase I Field Investigation Summary Report For Group No. 2 Title:

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: February 22, 1994

Bate Stamp: 007987

0. Title: Final Report - Phase I TerraMet Metals Extraction Treatability Study

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Site(s):

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Cognis, Inc. Author(s): Cognis, Inc.

AWD Laboratories, Inc. Recipient: Date: February 22, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007988 - 007998

<u>Draft Report</u> - Treatability Verification Of Air Stripping On Contaminated Ground Ρ. Title: Water

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Site(s): Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: IC Technologies, Inc.

Author(s): John E. Litz

Recipient: AWD Technologies, Inc. Date: February 24, 1994 Bate Stamp: 007999 - 008022

Letter - Subject: EPA's Request For Extension To Submission Of Comments On Several Q. Title:

Documents

Group(s): 2, 3, Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3,

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill Site(s):

VOLUME 2 of 13 (Continued)

1994

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-32 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: March 4, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008023 - 008024

R. Title: Meeting Agenda - LHAAP Technical Review Committee Meeting, March 10, 1994

Attach(s): Attendance List

Overhead Slide - IR Accomplishment Since Dec 93 Overhead Slide - IR Activities in the Next 3 Months

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army

Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Recipient: Meeting Participants
Date: March 10, 1994
Bate Stamp: 008025 - 008029

S. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Interim Risk Assessment For Unlined

Evaporation Pond / Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Lynn Muckelrath, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: March 22, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008030 - 008051

T. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Statistical Methods Used For Calculation Of

VOLUME 2 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Background Levels

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: April 12, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008052 - 008053

U. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Draft DERPMIS Resolution Document

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: April 14, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008054

V. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Phase I Investigation For 125 Waste Rack

Sumps And 20 Waste Rack Sum,ps

Group(s): 3

Site(s): LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: April 19, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008055 - 008057

W. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: EPS's Comments On Draft Field Investigation Summary For

group #2

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area

VOLUME 2 of 13 (Continued)

1994

LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Recipient: David Tolbert, U.S. Army, LHAAP

Date: April 19, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008058 - 008061

X. Title: Memorandum - Subject: EPA's Comments On Interim Risk Assessment For Unlined

Evaporation Pond / Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s): Allison Jenkins Toxicologist, Superfund Investigation Section

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: April 19, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008062

Y. Title: Letter - Subject: Revised Schedule For Groups #4 IRAs At Unlined Evaporation Pond /

Burning Ground #3 And Landfills

Attach(s): Schedule

Group(s): 4, Early Interim Action At Burning Ground # 3

Site(s): LHAAP - 12 Active Landfill

LHAAP - 16 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP - 18 & LHAAP - 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: April 21, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008063 - 008066

Z. Title: Letter - Subject: Revised Schedule For Groups #4 IRAs At Unlined Evaporation Pond /

Burning Ground #3 And Landfills

Attach(s): Schedule Group(s): 1,2,& 3

Site(s): LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

VOLUME 2 of 13 (Continued)

1994

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: April 21, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008067 - 008070

VOLUME 3 of 13

1994

A. **<u>Letter</u>** - Subject: Draft Field Investigation Summary For Site 1A Title:

Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: May 11, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008071

В. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft DERMIS Resolution Document

Attach(s): **Comments**

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: May 17, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008072 - 008074

Letter - Subject: EPA's Response To Separate Schedules For Groups #,2,3 and For C. Title:

Group # 4 IRAs At Unlined Evaporation Pond / Burning Ground #3 And Landfills

Group(s): 1,2,3 And 4

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old & Active Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area,

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area **LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant**

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Environmental Protection Agency Agency:

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Mr. David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

VOLUME 3 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Date: May 23, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008075 - 008076

D. Title: Letter - Subject: DERMIS List / RMIS Cross-Reference Table Comments

Attach(s): Comments

Group(s): All Site(s): C_{or}

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: May 31, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008077 - 008080

E. Title: Conference Call - Contact: Kathleen Buci, Major John German, Joe Kang

Subject: Ask AEC Legal Whether Or Not EPA Should Get Two Separate Packages;

One For FFA And The Other For Planning Purpose Only

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): W. C. Nathan

Recipient: File

Date: June 1, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008081

F. Title: Final Report - Biotreatability Study

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Bioscience, Inc.
Author(s): Bioscience, Inc.

Recipient: AWD Laboratories, Inc.

Date: June 3, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008082 - 008130

G. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Draft Initial Screening Of Alternatives

Group(s): 1,2,&3

Site(s): LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

VOLUME 3 of 13 (Continued)

1994

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burning Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: June 6, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008131

H. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: EPA's Comments On Monitoring Well Survey At Unlined Evaporation

Pond At Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Pond

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Author(s): David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: June 7 1994 Bate Stamp: 008132 - 008133

I. <u>Letter</u> - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Field Investigation Summary For Site 1A Title: Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Date:

June 8, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008134 - 008135

J. Letter - Subject: Meeting, LHAAP, for Technical Review Committee - June 22, 1994 Title:

Attach(s): Meeting Agenda

Group(s): All

VOLUME 3 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: June 13, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008136 - 008137

K. Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft DERMIS Resolution Document Title:

Attach(s): **Comments**

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: June 14, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008138 - 008144

L. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Remedial Design Investigations Work Plan For Landfills

Group(s): **Landfill Caps Interim Action** Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Agency:

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: June 16, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008145

M. Title: Meeting Agenda - LHAAP Technical Review Committee Meeting, June 22, 1994 Attach(s):

Status Report - LHAAP Environmental Projects Status (as of June 17, 1994)

Status Report - Regulators' Milestones Status Update

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army

Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Recipient: Meeting Participants Date: June 22, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008146 - 008170

VOLUME 3 of 13 (Continued)

1994

N. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Work Plan And Chemical Acquisition Plan Addendum For

Phase II Group #1

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q LHAAP-54 Or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency: Author(s):

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: June 28, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008171

0. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Draft Work Plan For Soil And Ground Water Background

Concentration Study

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Date: June 29, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008172

P. Title:

Final Report - Biotreatability Report

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site($s\bar{}$):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Bioscience, Inc. Author(s): Bioscience, Inc.

Recipient: AWD Laboratories, Inc.

Date:

July 1, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008173 - 008366

Q. Title: **<u>Letter</u>** - Subject: Natural Resource Trustee Responsibilities

Letter - From Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Attach(s):

Group(s): Ali Site(s):

General

VOLUME 3 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: July 11, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008367 - 008371

Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Remedial Design Investigations Work Plan R. Title:

For Landfills Group(s):

Landfill Caps Interim Action Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Author(s): Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: July 13, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008372 - 008374

S. Title: Letter - Subject: Request For Extension On Submission Of Draft RI / FS For Sites No. 13

& 14 And Proposed Plan For IRA At Unlined Evaporation Pond / Burning Ground # 3

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Active And Old Landfills Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: July 27, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008375 - 008377

T. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Proposed Plan For Interim Remedial Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

VOLUME 3 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Agency: Department of the Army

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient:

Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Date:

July 29, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008378

U. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments And Conditional Approval Of Draft Work Plan For

Soil And Ground Water Background Concentration Study

Attach(s): Comments

Group(s): 1

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds Site($s\bar{}$):

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Environmental Protection Agency Agency:

Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Author(s): David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: July 29, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008379 - 008381

V. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments And Conditional Approval Of Draft Work Plan

Addendum For Phase II Group #1 Sites 11,1,xx,27 (Group #1)

Attach(s): Comments

Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Location: Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: July 29, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008382 - 008384

VOLUME 4 of 13

1994

W. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Chemical Data Acquisition Plan Addendum For

The RI Sites 11,1,xx,27 (Group #1)

Attach(s):

Comments

Group(s):

Site(s):

Location:

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: July 29, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008385 - 008388

X. Title:

Final Workplan Addendum - Phase I Investigation Of 125 Waste Process Sumps And 20

Waste Rack Sumps for Remedial Investigation (RI)

Soil and Groundwater Background Concentration Study

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: August, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008389 - 008409

Y. Title: Final Workplan - Phase II Investigations Of 125 Waste Process Sumps and 20 Waste

Rack Sumps for Remedial Investigation (RI)

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: August, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008410 - 008593

VOLUME 4 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Z. Title:

Final Workplan - Phase II Workplan Addendum For Remedial Investigation (RI)

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Ground

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 Or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Location: Company:

Sverdrup Environmental, Inc. Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

Author(s): Recipient:

U.S. Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date:

August, 1994

Bate Stamp: 008594 - 008663

VOLUME 5 of 13

1994

Α. Title: Final Workplan - Phase II A-E Field Workplan For Remedial Investigation (RI)

Group(s):

Location:

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Ground**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 Or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Sverdrup Environmental, Inc. Author(s): Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

Recipient: U.S. Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: August, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008664 - 008685

В. Title: Final Workplan - Phase II A-E Site Safety & Health Plan For The Remedial

Investigation (RI)

Group(s):

Location:

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Ground**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Sverdrup Environmental, Inc. Author(s): Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

Recipient: U.S. Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: August, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008686 - 008814

C. Title: Final Workplan - Phase II A-E Chemical Data Acquisition Plan For Remedial

Investigation (RI)

Group(s):

Location:

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds Site(s):

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & O

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 Or LHAAP - XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Sverdrup Environmental. Inc. Author(s): Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

Recipient: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Date: August, 1994 Bate Stamp: 008815 - 009002

VOLUME 6 of 13

1994

D. Title: Final Workplan - Phase II A-E Chemical Data Acquisition Plan For Remedial

Investigation (RI Addendum)

Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 Or LHAAP - XX Ground Signal Test Area

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Sverdrup Environmental, Inc. Author(s): Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas Recipient:

Date: August, 1994 Bate Stamp: 009003 - 009250

E. Final Work Plan - Landfill Caps for Remedial Design Investigations Title: Group(s):

Landfill Caps Interim Action Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Author(s): U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: August, 1994 Bate Stamp: 009251 - 009302

F. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft RI / FS For Sites No. 13 & 14

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Active And Old Landfills Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: August 1, 1994

Bate Stamp: 009303

G. **Letter - Subject: Letter Of Intent For Application Of Technical Assistance Grant** Title:

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Uncertain Audubon Society Agency:

VOLUME 6 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Author(s): Ruth Culver

Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: August 5, 1994 Bate Stamp: 009304 - 009305

H. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft Phase II Work Plan For Sumps

Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various**

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: August 10, 1994 Bate Stamp: 009306 - 009326

I. Letter - Subject: Final Remedial Design Investigations Work Plan For Landfills Title:

Group(s): **Interim Remedial Action** Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: August 16, 1994

Bate Stamp: 009327

J. Letter - Subject: Final Deadlines For Primary And Secondary Document Title:

Document - Primary and Secondary Document Of The Installation Restoration Program Attach(s):

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s):

Recipient: Mr. Michael Moore Texas National Resource Conservation Commission

Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: August 16, 1994 Bate Stamp: 009328 - 009342

K. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Proposed Plan For Interim Remedial Action At Burning

VOLUME 6 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Ground No. 3 - Comments Offered After Review

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3 Group(s): Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Agency:

Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (TNRCC) Author(s):

Michael A. Moore, Texas Water Commission Mr. Dave Tolbert, U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient: Date:

August 16, 1994 Bate Stamp: 009343 - 009347

L. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Phase II Workplan For 125 Waste Rack Sumps And 20 Waste Rack Sumps

Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various**

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency: Author(s):

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: August 26, 1994

Bate Stamp: 009348

VOLUME 7 of 13

1994

M. Title: Final Analytical Data - Interim Remedial Action (RFI) Burning Ground 3 & Unlined

Evaporation Pond

Volume 1 of 4 - Chemical Data QA / QC Validation Summary Reports

Group(s):

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s):

Location:

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Company:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas AWD Technologies, Inc.

Author(s):

AWD Technologies, Inc.

Recipient:

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District September 6, 1994

Date:

Bate Stamp: 009349 - 009724

VOLUME 8 of 13

1994

N. Title: Final Analytical Data - Interim Remedial Action (RFI) Burning Ground 3 & Unlined

Evaporation Pond

Volume 2 of 4 - Chemical Data QA / QC Validation Summary Reports

Group(s):

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3 Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas AWD Technologies, Inc.

Company: AWD Technologies, Inc. Author(s):

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient:

Date: September 6, 1994 Bate Stamp: 009725 - 010284

VOLUME 9 of 13

1994

Ο. Title: Final Analytical Data - Interim Remedial Action (RFI) Burning Ground 3 & Unlined

Evaporation Pond

Volume 3 of 4 - Chemical Data QA / QC Validation Summary Reports

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3 Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Company: AWD Technologies, Inc. Author(s): AWD Technologies, Inc.

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient:

Date: September 6, 1994 Bate Stamp: 010285 - 010908

VOLUME 10 of 13

1994

P. Title: Final Analytical Data - Interim Remedial Action (RFI) Burning Ground 3 & Unlined

Evaporation Pond

Volume 4 of 4 - Chemical Data QA / QC Validation Summary Reports

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

AWD Technologies, Inc. AWD Technologies, Inc. Company: Author(s):

U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient:

Date: September 6, 1994 Bate Stamp: 010909 - 011465

VOLUME 11 of 13

1994

Q. Title: Brochure - Overview Of The Installation Restoration Program At Longhorn Army

Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

1, 2, and 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Ground

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Old And Active Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s):

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Commander

Recipient: U.S. Public

Date:

September 11, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011466 - 011496

R. Title:

Fact Sheet - Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Burning Ground No. 3 Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s): Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Author(s):

Mr. David Tolbert, Environmental Protection Specialist.

Recipient:

U.S. Public

Date:

September 11, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011497 - 011504

S. Title: Proposed Plan Of Action - Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Burning Ground No. 3

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3 Group(s): Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Author(s): Mr. David Tolbert, Environmental Protection Specialist.

Recipient: U.S. Public

September 11, 1994 Date: Bate Stamp: 011505 - 011537

VOLUME 11 of 13 (Continued)

1994

T. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Chemical Data Acquisition Plan Addendum For The RI Sites 11,

1, xx, 27 (Group #1)

Group(s):

Site($s\bar{)}$: **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: September 12, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011538

U. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Phase II Workplan For 125 Waste Rack Sumps And 20 Waste Rack Sumps

Group(s):

LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various Site(s):

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: September 12, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011539

V. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Draft RI / FS For Sites No. 13 & 14 Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Active And Old Landfills LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: September 12, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011540 - 011545

W. Public Notice - Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Notice Of Public Meeting On Title:

Proposed Treatment Area For Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition

015808

LONGHORN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT KARNACK, TEXAS ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD - CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

VOLUME 11 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Plant

Notice Published In News Messenger

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Karnack High School

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers Author(s): U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Recipient: U.S. Public

Date: September 15, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011546 - 011553

X. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: TNRCC's Comments On Draft RI / FS For Sites No. 13 & 14

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Site Between Active And Old Landfills

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Texas Water Commission

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient: David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: September 19, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011554 - 011557

Y. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: TNRCC's Approval Of Work Plan Addendum For Soil And Ground

water Background Concentration Study

Group(s): 1,2, And 4

Site(s): LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & O

LHAAP-12 Activ e Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area /Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Plant

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area

LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

VOLUME 11 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Date: September 20, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011558 - 011560

Z. Title: Letter - Subject: TNRCC's Approval Of Work Plan Addendum For Soil And Ground

Water Background Concentration Study

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas **Texas Water Commission**

Agency:

Author(s):

Mr Michael Moore, Superfund Investigation Section Pollution Cleanup Division Mr David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Recipient: Date: September 20, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011561

VOLUME 12 of 13

1994

Α. Title:

Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Schedule

Group(s):

1, 2 & 4

Site($s\bar{}$):

LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site At Avenues P & Q

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 Burning Ground No. 2 / Flashing Area

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Disposal Area **LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps**

LHAAP-54 & LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Location: Agency:

Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Mr David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: September 20, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011562 - 011564

В. Title: Newspaper Article - Explosive Issue, Army, environmentalists debate cleanup of

munitions plant

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: The Dallas Morning News

Author(s): Randy Lee Loftis

Recipient: U.S. Public

September 26, 1994 Date: Bate Stamp: 011565 - 011566

C. Title: Letter - Subject: TNRCC's Comments On Interim Risk Assessment For Burning Ground

No. 3 and Unlined Evaporation Pond (Sites 18 & 24)

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Mr Michael Moore, Superfund Investigation Section Pollution Cleanup Division Author(s):

Recipient: Mr David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

VOLUME 12 of 13 (Continued)

<u>1994</u>

Date:

September 27, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011567

Title: D.

<u>Letter</u> - Subject: TNRCC's Comments On Draft Field Investigation Summary Report For

Group No. 2 Sites

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Texas Water Commission

Author(s):

Michael A. Moore, Superfund Investigation Section

Recipient:

David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: October 5, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011568 - 011570

E. Title: Letter - Subject: Army Requested Establishment Of Effluent Standards For Treated

Ground Water From Interim Remedial Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s):

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s):

Lawrence J. Sowa Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Commanding Officer

Recipient: Mr. Michael Moore, Superfund Investigation Section

Date:

October 6, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011571 - 011572

F. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Record Of Decision Document For Interim Remedial Action For

Burning Ground No. 3

Group(s):

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Lawrence J. Sowa Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Commanding Officer

Author(s): Recipient:

Ms. Lisa Price, Environmental Protection Agency

VOLUME 12 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Date: October 13, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011573

G. Title: Letter - Subject: TNRCC's Comments On Phase I Investigations For 125 Waste Rack

Sumps And 20 Waste Rack Sum,ps, And Approval Of Phase II - Workplans Of 125

Waste Process Sumps And 20 Waste Rack Sumps

Group(s): 4

Site(s): LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Texas Water Commission

Author(s): Mr Michael Moore, Superfund Investigation Section Pollution Cleanup Division

Recipient: Mr David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: October 17, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011574

H. Title: Letter - Subject: TNRCC's Comments On Remedial Design Investigation For Landfills

Group(s): 2 (Partial)

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Texas Water Commission

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: October 18, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011575 - 011576

I. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Draft Phase II Group No. 2 Work Plans

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Commanding Officer

Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: October 24, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011577

VOLUME 12 of 13 (Continued)

1994

J. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Request For Clarification Regarding A TNRCC Comment on Draft RI /

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Mr. Michael Moore, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient: Date:

October 31, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011578

K. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Army's Response To A TNRCC Comment Will Cause Delay In

Finalization Of RI / FS For Sites #13 & #14

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient:

Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Date:

October 31, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011579 - 011580

L. Title: Letter - Subject: TNRCC's Response to Army's Request For Clarification On Comments Regarding RI / FS For Sites #13 & #14

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Mr Michael Moore, Superfund Investigation Section Pollution Cleanup Division Author(s): Recipient:

Mr David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: November 1, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011581 - 011582

<u>Letter</u> - Subject: Submission Of The Draft Responsiveness Summary of Public Comments M. Title:

On The Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Burning Ground No. 3

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3 Group(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s): Pond

VOLUME 12 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Commanding Officer

Recipient: Ms. Lisa Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: November 1, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011583

N. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Response To Delay In RI / FS For Sites 13 & 14p Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient: Mr David Tolbert, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: November 3, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011584 - 011585

0. Letter - Subject:Draft Proposed Plan For Interim Action At Landfills Title:

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Commanding Officer

Recipient: Ms. Lisa Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: November 28, 1994

Bate Stamp: 011586

P. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments On Work Plan For Interim Action At Landfills Phase

II Group 2

Group(s): 2 (Partial)

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Ms. Lisa Price, Environmental Protection Agency Author(s): Me David Tolbert Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: November 28, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011587 - 011591

VOLUME 12 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Q. Title:

<u>Letter</u> - Subject:TNRCC's Comments On Work Plan For RI / FS Phase II Group #2

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area

LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Author(s): Michael A. Moore Superfund Investigation Unit

David Tolbert, Project Manager Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: November 29, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011592 - 011593

R. Title: Final Workplan - Phase 2 Workplan For The Remedial Investigation (RI)

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Former TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Company: Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

Author(s): Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient:

Date: December, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011594 - 011687

VOLUME 13 of 13

1994

S. Title:

Final Workplan - Phase 2 - Chemical Data Acquisition Plan For The Remedial

Investigation (RI)

Group(s):

Site($s\bar{}$):

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area **LHAAP-32 Former TNT Waste Disposal Plant**

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Sverdrup Environmental, Inc. Author(s): Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

Recipient: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: December, 1994 Bate Stamp: 011688 - 012052

T. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Soil Background Concentration Study

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: December 14, 1994

Bate Stamp: 012053

U. Title: Memorandum - Subject: Formula For Calculating Discharge Limits For Chlorides And

Attach(s): **Comments From Steve Ligon Regarding Formula**

Group(s): All

Site(s): General

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army

Author(s): **Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission** Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: December 19, 1994 Bate Stamp: 012054 - 012061

V. Title: Letter - Subject:Draft Proposed Plan For Interim Action At Landfills

Group(s): 2 (Partial)

Site(s):

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

VOLUME 13 of 13 (Continued)

1994

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Commanding Officer
Recipient: Ms. Lisa Price, Environmental Protection Agency
December 19, 1994
Bate Stamp: 012062

VOLUME 1 of 8

1995

A. **Letter** - Subject: Soil And Ground Water Background Concentration Reports Title:

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s):

Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: January 3, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012063

B. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Review Of Documents

Attach(s): **Status Of Documents Review Report**

Group(s):

Site($s\bar{}$): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Michael A. Moore Superfund Investigation Unit Author(s):

David Tolbert, Project Manager Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: January 3, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012064 - 012066

C. Title: Letter - Subject: Phase II Workplan For Remedial Investigation For Group #2 Sites

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Attach(s): Comments

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

VOLUME 1 of 8 (Continued)

1995

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: January 4, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012067 - 012079

Letter - Subject: Submission Of The Final Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study D. Title:

Report For Sites 13 And 14 (Group 3) Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s):

Ms Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: January 5, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012080 - 012082

Ε. Title: Letter - Subject: Army's January 5, 1995 Letter Regarding Remedial Investigation /

Feasibility Study For Sites 13 And 14 **Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant**

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Author(s):

Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: January 10, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012083 - 012084

F. Title: Letter - Subject: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Remedial Investigation Work Plan

for Group 2 Sites

Group(s): 2

Site(s): **LHAAP-12 Active Landfill** LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Texas Water Commission**

Mr. Michael A. Moore RI / FS II Unit, Superfumd Investigation Section Author(s): Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

VOLUME 1 of 8 (Continued)

1995

Date:

January 10, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012085

G.

Title:

Letter - Subject: Phase II Workplan For Remedial Investigation For Group #2 Sites

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area **LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant**

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Ms. Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager Superfund Texas Enforcement Author(s):

Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: January 10, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012086

H. Title:

Letter - Subject: Soil And Ground Water Background Concentration Reports

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: January 23, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012087 - 012088

I. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Draft Final Remedial Investigations Feasibility Study Report Sites 13

And 14 (Group 3)

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s):

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: January 30, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012089

VOLUME 1 of 8 (Continued)

1995

J. <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Hydrogeological Assessment for LHAAP Title:

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Author(s):

Recipient: David Tolbert, Project Manager, LHAAP

Date: February 16, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012090 - 012092

K. Letter - Subject: Soil Background Concentration Report for LHAAP Title:

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soli and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: **Environmental Protection Agency**

Author(s): Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Recipient: David Tolbert, Project Manager, LHAAP

Date: February 16, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012093 - 012095

L. Letter - Subject: Sampling and Data Results Report for the Remedial Investigation at Title:

Group 1 Sites for LHAAP in Karnack, Texas

Group(s):

Site(s): **LHAAP-1 Inert Burning Grounds**

LHAAP-11 Suspected TNT Burial Site at Avenues P and Q

LHAAP-27 South Test Area

LHAAP-54 or LHAAP-XX Ground Signal Test Area Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of the Army, LHAAP

Author(s): Lieutenant Colonel Lawrence J. Sowa, Commanding Officer

Recipient: Ms. Lisa Price, Superfund Enforcement, USEPA

Date: February 21, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012096

Letter - Subject: Meeting, LHAAP, for Technical Review Committee - March 1, 1995 M. Title:

Attach(s): Meeting Agenda

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

VOLUME 1 of 8 (Continued)

1995

Author(s): Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient: Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: February 21, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012097

Letter - LHAAP, Record of Decision for Early Interim remedial Action at Burning N. Title:

Ground No. 3 Group(s):

Early Interim Action at Burning Ground No. 3 LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond Site(s):

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Agency: **Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission**

Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section, Pollution Cleanup Author(s):

Division

David Tolbert, Project Manager, U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: February 21, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012098 - 012099

0. Title: **Letter** - LHAAP, Draft Record of Decision Group(s): Early Interim Action at Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas **Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission** Agency:

Dan Pearson, Executive Director Author(s):

Recipient: Allyn M. Davis, Ph.D., Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, USEPA

Date: February 28, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012100

P. Title: Final Report - LHAAP Installation Restoration Program

Sites 12 And 16 Interim Remedial Action Focused Feasibility Study

Group(s): **Landfill Caps Interim Action** Site($s\bar{)}$: LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: March, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012101 - 012344

VOLUME 1 of 8 (Continued)

1995

Q. Title: Fact Sheet - LHAAP Sites 12 and 16, Landfill Caps Interim Action

Group(s): Interim Remedial Action
LHAAP-12 Active Landfill
LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Author(s): Mr. David Tolbert, Environmental Protection Specialist.

Recipient: U.S. Public Date: March, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012345

R. Title: Proposed Plan Of Action - LHAAP Sites 12 and 16, Landfill Caps Interim Action

Group(s): Interim Remedial Action
Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill
LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Author(s): Mr. David Tolbert, Environmental Protection Specialist.

Recipient: U.S. Public Date: March, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012346 - 012366

S. Title: Meeting Agenda - LHAAP Technical Review Committee Meeting, March 1, 1995 Attach(s): Status Report - LHAAP Environmental Projects Status (as of February 27, 1995)

Group(s): All

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army

Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Recipient: Meeting Participants
Date: March 1, 1995
Bate Stamp: 012367 - 012369

T. Title: Superfund Site Update - LHAAP Technical Assistance Grant Awarded

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6

Recipient: U.S. Public
Date: March 1, 1995
Bate Stamp: 012370 - 012371

VOLUME 1 of 8 (Continued)

1995

U. Title:

Letter - Subject: March 23, 1995 Public Meeting Invitation

Group(s): Site(s):

Interim Remedial Action LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

Location:

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill Karnack High School

Agency:

Department of the Army

Author(s):

Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient:

75661 Zip Code Resident

Date:

March 14, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012372

V. Title: Public Notice - Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Notice Of Public Meeting On

Proposed Treatment Area For Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition

Plant

Notice Published In News Messenger

Group(s):

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location:

Karnack High School

Agency: Author(s):

U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Recipient:

U.S. Public

Date: March 23, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012373

W. Title: Final Report - Soil Background Concentration Report

Group(s):

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies

Site($s\bar{}$):

General

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Author(s):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Recipient: Date:

U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

March, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012374 - 012590

X. Title:

Group(s):

<u>Letter</u> - Subject: Draft Remedial Investigations Feasibility Study Report Sites 13 And 14

Site(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Environmental Protection Agency

VOLUME 1 of 8 (Continued)

1995

Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Author(s):

Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

Date: March 14, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012591 - 012594

Y. Report - Public Meeting In Re: LHAAP 12 and LHAAP 16 Landfills, Interim Remedial Title:

Action Proposal

Group(s): **Landfill Caps Interim Action** LHAAP-12 Active Landfill Site(s):

LHAAP 16 Old Landfill Location: Karnack High School

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers Author(s): U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers

Recipient: U.S. Public Date: March 23, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012595 - 012633

Letter - Subject: Transmission of Proposed Plan of Action, for Group 3 Sites (13 And \mathbf{Z} . Title: **14**)

Group(s): 3

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency Recipient:

Date: March 27, 1995

VOLUME 2 of 8

1995

A. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmission of Proposed Plan of Action for Group 3 Sites (13 And 14)

Site(s): LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: March 27, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012635

B. Title: Letter - Subject: Addendum to the Phase 2 Work Plan for Wasteline Sampling and the

Associated Chemical Data Acquisition Plan for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Ms. Lisa M. Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: March 30, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012636

C. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Addendum to the Phase 2 Work Plan for Wasteline Sampling and the

Associated Chemical Data Acquisition Plan for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): 2

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: March 30, 1995

VOLUME 2 of 8 (Continued)

1995

D. Letter - Subject: Addendum to the Phase 2 Work Plan for Wasteline Sampling and the Title:

Associated Chemical Data Acquisition Plan for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient:

Date: March 30, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012638

E. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmission of Final Soil Background Concentration Report for

LHAAP

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s):

Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient:

Date: March 30, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012639

F. Letter - Subject: Transmission of Final Soil Background Concentration Report for Title:

LHAAP

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s):

Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission Recipient:

Date: March 30, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012640

G. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmission of Final Soil Background Concentration Report for

LHAAP

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

VOLUME 2 of 8 (Continued)

1995

Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient:

Date: March 30, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012641

H. Report - Final Addendum to Phase 2 Work Plan for Wasteline Sampling Group 2 Sites Title:

at Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site($s\bar{)}$: LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area

LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Sverdrup Environmental, Inc. Recipient: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Date: April, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012642 - 012663

I. Report - Final Addendum to Phase 2 Chemical Data Acquisition Plan for Wasteline Title:

Sampling Group 2 Sites at Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site($s\bar{}$): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Sverdrup Environmental, Inc. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Recipient:

Date: April, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012664 - 012674

J. Letter - Subject: Changes to Final Soil Background Concentration Report for LHAAP Title:

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

VOLUME 2 of 8 (Continued)

1995

N.

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: April 4, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012675

K. Letter - Subject: Changes to Final Soil Background Concentration Report for LHAAP Title:

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: April 4, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012676

L. <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Changes to Final Soil Background Concentration Report for LHAAP Title:

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: April 4, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012677

Letter - Subject: Sites 12 and 16, Landfill Caps Interim Action Comments and Questions M. Title:

Group(s): **Landfill Caps Interim Action** Site($s\bar{)}$: LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Ruth Culver, Conservation Chairman, Uncertain Audubon Author(s):

Recipient: David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: April 20, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012678 - 012680

Record of Decision For Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Title:

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineer U. S. Army Corps Of Engineers Author(s):

VOLUME 2 of 8 (Continued

1995

Recipient: U.S. Public Date: May, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012681 - 012751

O. Title: Letter - Subject: Soil Background Concentration Report for LHAAP

Group(s): All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI/FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient: David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: May 1, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012752 - 012753

P. Title: Letter - Subject: Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Sites 13 And 14 (Group 3)

Group(s): $\overline{3}$

Site(s): LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: May 3, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012754 - 012756

Q. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Proposed Plan - Sites 13 And 14 (Group 3)

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: May 4, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012757 - 012759

R. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Groundwater Background Concentration Report for LHAAP

Group(s): All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: May 9, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012760

VOLUME 2 of 8 (Continued)

1995

S. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Groundwater Background Concentration Report for LHAAP

Group(s): All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies

Site(s): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission Recipient:

Date: May 9, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012761

T. Letter - Subject: Final Groundwater Background Concentration Report for LHAAP Title:

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s):

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient:

Date: May 9, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012762

U. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Final Hydrogeological Assessment Report for LHAAP

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s):

Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient:

Date: May 11, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012763

V. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Hydrogeological Assessment Report for LHAAP

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission Recipient:

Date: May 11, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012764

W. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Hydrogeological Assessment Report for LHAAP

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s):

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

VOLUME 2 of 8 (Continued)

1995

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient:

Date: May 11, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012765

X. Letter - Subject: Draft Record of Decision for Early Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Title:

Sites 12 and 16,

Landfill Caps Interim Action Group(s): Site($s\bar{}$): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient:

Date: May 23, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012766

Letter - Subject: Draft Record of Decision for Early Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Title: Y.

Sites 12 and 16,

Group(s): **Landfill Caps Interim Action** Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient:

Date: May 23, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012767

Z. <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Draft Record of Decision for Early Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Title:

Sites 12 and 16.

Group(s): **Landfill Caps Interim Action** Site(s):

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: May 23, 1995

VOLUME 3 of 8

1995

Α. Letter - Subject: Point of Contact for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Title:

Group(s): All Site($s\bar{)}$: General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient:

Date: May 24, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012769

В. Letter - Subject: Point of Contact for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Title: Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient:

Date: May 24, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012770

C. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Soil Background Concentration Report for LHAAP All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient:

Date: May 25, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012771

D. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Soil Background Concentration Report for LHAAP All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s):

Recipient: Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: May 25, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012772

E. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Soil Background Concentration Report for LHAAP All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Group(s):

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

<u>VOLUME</u> 3 of 8 (Continued)

1995

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency: Author(s):

Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: May 25, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012773

F. Proposed Plan of Action - Sites 13 And 14 (Group 3), Longhorn Army Ammunition Title:

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill Site($s\bar{)}$:

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): U. S. Army Corps of Engineers

U. S. Public Recipient: Date: June, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012774 - 012785

G. Final Report - Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study Sites 13 and 14 Volume I, Title:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: June, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012786 - 012864

H. Title: Final Report - Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study Sites 13 and 14 Volume II,

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill Site(s):

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: June, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013175 - 014195

Bate Stamp Numbers Are Out of Sequence From Volume 5 of 5 1995 After the Letter W. Note:

VOLUME 4 of 8

1995

I. Title: Final Report - Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study Sites 13 and 14 Volume III,

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Recipient:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date:

June, 1995

Bate Stamp: 014196 - 015320

Note:

Bate Stamp Numbers Are Out of Sequence Continuing From Volume 3 of 5 1995 After

the Letter H.

VOLUME 5 of 8

1995

J. Title: Final Report - DERPMIS / RMIS Resolution Document for Longhorn Army

Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

All

Site(s):

General

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Recipient:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Date:

June, 1995

Bate Stamp: 012865 - 012934

K. Title:

Final Report - Groundwater Background Concentration Report

Group(s): Site(s):

Landfill Caps Interim Action LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Author(s): Recipient:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District U.S. Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date:

June, 1995 Bate Stamp: 012935 - 013162

L. Title: Letter - Subject: Request for Approval of Final Disposition of CERCLA Investigative -

Derived Solid Material at the Old Landfill, Site 16

Group(s):

Landfill Caps Interim Action LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Site(s): Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s):

Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient:

Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date:

Title:

June 6, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013163

M.

Letter - Subject: Management of Investigation Derived Waste

Group(s): Site(s):

Landfill Caps Interim Action LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s):

Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI/FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Recipient:

Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date:

June 7, 1995

VOLUME 5 of 8 (Continued)

1995

N. Title: Letter - Subject: Volume I Final Hydrogeological Assessment Report for LHAAP Group(s):

All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies

Site(s): General

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Author(s):

Recipient: Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: June 13, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013165

<u>Letter</u> - Subject: Volume I Final Hydrogeological Assessment Report for LHAAP 0. Title:

Group(s): All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies Site(s):

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas Location:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency: Author(s):

Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army Recipient:

Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: June 13, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013166

P. Title: <u>Letter</u> - DERPMIS / RMIS Resolution Document for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient:

Date: June 13, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013167

Q. Letter - DERPMIS / RMIS Resolution Document for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Title: Group(s): All

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency: Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: June 13, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013168

R. Title: Letter - Subject: Schedules for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

VOLUME 5 of 8 (Continued)

1995

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: June 15, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013169

S. Title: Letter - Subject: Schedules for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): All
Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: June 15, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013170

T. Title: Letter - Subject: Schedules for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Lawrence J. Sowa, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: June 15, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013171

U. Title: Memorandum - Subject: Review of the Draft Record of Decision for Early Interim

Remedial Action at Landfill Sites 12 and 16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action
LHAAP-12 Active Landfill
LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Arthur P. Lee, P.E. Program Manager, Health, Risk Assessment and Risk

Communication

Recipient: Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District, Attention: Jonna Polk

Date: June 21, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013172

V. Title: Memorandum - Subject: Review of Schedules for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

VOLUME 5 of 10 (Continued)

1995

Author(s): Arthur P. Lee, P.E. Program Manager, Health Risk Assessment and Risk

Communication

Recipient: Jonna Polk, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: June 22, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013173

W. Title: Letter- Subject: Approval of Schedules for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Recipient: Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army Executive Officer

Date: June 29, 1995

Bate Stamp: 013174

X. Title: Letter- Subject: Approval of Schedules for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: June 28, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015321

Y. Title: <u>Letter - Subject:</u> Draft Work Plan For Phase III of Interim Remedial Action at Burning

Ground No. 3 and UEP, LHAAP 18 & 24 for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant -

Transmittal of Full-Size Drawings

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineer

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Date: June 29, 1995

VOLUME 5 of 10 (Continued)

1995

Z. Title:

Letter - Subject: Draft Work Plan For Phase III of Interim Remedial Action at Burning

Ground No. 3 and UEP, LHAAP 18 & 24 for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant -

Transmittal of Full-Size Drawings

Group(s):

Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s):

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient:

Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Agency:

Author(s):

U. S. Army Corps Of Engineer Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Date:

June 29, 1995

VOLUME 6 of 10

1995

A. Title: Letter - Subject: Draft Work Plan For Phase III of Interim Remedial Action at Burning

Ground No. 3 and UEP, LHAAP 18 & 24 for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant -

Transmittal of Full-Size Drawings

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineer

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Date: June 29, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015324

B. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Final Record of Decision for Early Interim Remedial Action at Landfill

Sites 12 and 16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: July 10, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015325

C. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Final Record of Decision for Early Interim Remedial Action at Landfill

Sites 12 and 16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, RI/FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: July 10, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015326

D. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Final Record of Decision for Early Interim Remedial Action at Landfill

Sites 12 and 16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action
LHAAP-12 Active Landfill
LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

VOLUME 6 of 10 (Continued)

1995

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army Investigation Section

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: July 10, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015327

E. Title: Public Meeting - Subject: No Further Action Proposal for Sites 13 & 14 for Longhorn

Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): 3

Site(s): LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Recipient: U.S. Public Date: July 18, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015328-015352

F. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmittal of Revised Air Monitoring Plan, Appendix C to Draft

Chemical Data Acquisition Plan, Phase III of Interim Remedial Action at Burning

Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineer

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Date: July 25, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015353

G. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Request for 20-day Extension for Reviewing and Commenting on

General Work Plan Interim Remedial Action at Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn

Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s): Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: July 25, 1995

VOLUME 6 of 10 (Continued)

1995

H. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Transmittal of Final Record of Decision for Early Interim Remedial

Action at Landfill Sites 12 and 16,

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: July 27, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015355

I. Title: Letter - Subject: Preliminary Design for Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Sites 12 and

16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: July 27, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015356

J. Title: Letter - Subject: Preliminary Design for Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Sites 12 and

16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, RI/FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: July 27, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015357

K. Title: Letter - Subject: Preliminary Design for Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Sites 12 and

16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

VOLUME 6 of 10 (Continued)

1995

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: July 27, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015358

L. Title: Memorandum for Commander - Subject: Review of Draft Work Plan for Phase III

Interim Remedial Action at Burning Ground No. 3 and UEP, LHAAP 18 & 24, Volumes 1-5, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Prepared by Dow Environmental,

23 June 1995

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas
Recipient: Jonna Polk, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District
Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Arthur P. Lee, P.E., Program Manager, Health Risk Assessment and Risk

Communication, Department of the Army

Date: July 28, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015359

M. Title: Letter - Subject: Comments on General Work Plan Interim Remedial Action at Burning

Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Section 3: Soil and Source Material

Excavation, Treatment and Disposal Plan

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s): Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: July 31, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015360-015361

N. Title: Letter - Subject: Request for F

Letter - Subject: Request for Extension for Reviewing Preliminary Design for Interim

Remedial Action at Landfill Sites 12 and 16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action
LHAAP-12 Active Landfill
LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-10 Old Landilli

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: August 1, 1995

VOLUME 6 of 10 (Continued)

1995

O. Title: Letter - Subject: Preliminary Design for Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Sites 12 and

16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: August 1, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015363

P. Title: Letter - Subject: Design Work Plan Interim Remedial Action at Burning Ground No. 3

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: August 1, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015364

Q. Title: Letter - Subject: Final Hydrogeological Assessment Report for LHAAP

Group(s): All - Hydrogeological Assessment, Soil and Groundwater Background Studies

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section Recipient: Mr David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: August 1, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015365

R. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Change in Address

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: August 1, 1995

VOLUME 6 of 10 (Continued)

1995

S. Title: Memorandum - Subject: Early Interim Remedial Action Record of Decision (ROD) at

Landfill Sites 12 and 16, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. Robert Radkiewicz, U.S. Army Industrial Operations

COL M. Potter, U.S. Army Material Command

Mr. M. Vogt, 600 Army Pentagon

Date: August 2, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015367

T. Title: Letter - Subject:Transmittal of Draft Phase II Sumps Investigation Sample and Data

Report Volumes 1-5 for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): 4

Site(s): LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: August 9, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015368

U. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Transmittal of Draft Phase II Sumps Investigation Sample and Data

Report Volumes 1-5 for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): 4

Site(s): LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: August 9, 1995

VOLUME 6 of 10 (Continued)

1995

V. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Transmittal of Groups 2 & 4 Baseline Risk Assessment Work Plans, Minutes for

July 19, 1995 Risk Assessment Concept Scoping Meeting for Longhorn Army

Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

2 & 4

Site(s):

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Environmental Protection Agency

Date: August 9, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015370

W. Title: Letter - Groups 2 & 4 Baseline Risk Assessment Work Plans, Minutes for July 19, 1995

Risk Assessment Concept Scoping Meeting for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

2 & 4

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: August 9, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015371

X. Title: Letter - Groups 2 & 4 Baseline Risk Assessment Work Plans, Minutes for July 19, 1995

Risk Assessment Concept Scoping Meeting for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): 2 & 4

Site(s):

LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area

VOLUME 6 of 10 (Continued)

1995

LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: August 9, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015372

Y. Title: Letter - Subject: Comments on General Work Plan Interim Remedial Action at Burning

Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Section 3: Soil and Source Material

Excavation, Treatment and Disposal Plan

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s): Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

August 10, 1995 Date: Bate Stamp: 015373-015374

Z. Title: Letter - Subject: Proposed Record of Decision (ROD) at Landfill Sites 12 and 16,

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): **Landfill Caps Interim Action**

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Dan Pearson, Executive Director, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission Recipient:

Mr. Myron O. Knudson, P.E., Director Superfund Division, U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency Date: August 14, 1995

VOLUME 7 of 10

1995

A. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Design Work Plan Interim Remedial Action at Burning Ground No. 3

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Request for 14 Day Extension to Complete Review

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lisa Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: August 17, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015376

B. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: TNRCC's Comments on General Work Plan Interim Remedial Action

at Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s): Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: August 17, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015377-015396

C. Title: Letter - Subject: Preliminary Design for Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Sites 12 and

16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: August 25, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015397

D. Title: Letter - Group 5 Draft Chemical Data Acquisition Plan for the Preliminary Assessment

Site Investigations for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): 5

Site(s): LHAAP-50 Former Waste Disposal Facility

LHAAP-52 Magazine Washout Area

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground LHAAP-60 Former Storage Building 411 and 714

LHAAP-63 Burial Pits

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

VOLUME 7 of 10 (Continued)

1995

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: August 28, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015398

E. Title: Letter - Group 5 Draft Chemical Data Acquisition Plan for the Preliminary Assessment

Site Investigations for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-50 Former Waste Disposal Facility

LHAAP-52 Magazine Washout Area

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground LHAAP-60 Former Storage Building 411 and 714

LHAAP-63 Burial Pits

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, RI/FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: August 28, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015399

F. Title: Letter - Group 5 Draft Chemical Data Acquisition Plan for the Preliminary Assessment

Site Investigations for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-50 Former Waste Disposal Facility

LHAAP-52 Magazine Washout Area

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground LHAAP-60 Former Storage Building 411 and 714

LHAAP-63 Burial Pits

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: August 28, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015400

G. Title: Letter - Subject: Proposed Permit HW - 50195 Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Interim Remedial Action Modeling

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. James A. McPherson Commander Representative, Longhorn Army Ammunition

Plant

VOLUME 7 of 10 (Continued)

1995

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

John C. Gott, Chemical Section New Source Review Division, Texas Natural Resource Author(s):

Conservation Commission

Date: August 28, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015401-015402

H. Letter - Groups 2 & 4 Baseline Risk Assessment Work Plans, Minutes for July 19, 1995 Title:

Risk Assessment Concept Scoping Meeting for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): 2 & 4

Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP 24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Evaporation Pond

LHAAP-29 Former TNT Production Area LHAAP-32 Formal TNT Waste Disposal Plant LHAAP-35 Process Wastewater Sumps - Various

LHAAP-36 Explosive Waste Pads

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency: Author(s): Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: August 29, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015403

T. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmittal of TNRCC's Revised Comments on General Work Plan

Interim Remedial Action at Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site(s):

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s): Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

August 29, 1995 Date: Bate Stamp: 015404-015419

J. Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments on Design Work Plan Interim Remedial Action at Title:

Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation Site($s\bar{)}$:

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plan

<u>VOLUME 7 of 10 (Continued)</u>

1995

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Date: August 30, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015420-015428

K. Title: Letter - Subject: Preliminary Design for Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Sites 12 and

Group(s): Site(s):

Landfill Caps Interim Action LHAAP-12 Active Landfill LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Author(s):

Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Recipient: Date:

August 30, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015429-015430

L. Title:

Final Plan - Chemical Data Acquisition Plan for the Preliminary Assessment Site Investigations Group V at Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-50 Former Waste Disposal Facility

LHAAP-52 Magazine Washout Area

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground LHAAP-60 Former Storage Building 411 and 714

LHAAP-63 Burial Pits

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

Recipient:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date:

September, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015431-015527

M. Title:

Final Plan - Final Work Plan for the Preliminary Assessment Site Investigations Group

No. V at Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-50 Former Waste Disposal Facility

LHAAP-52 Magazine Washout Area

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground LHAAP-60 Former Storage Building 411 and 714

LHAAP-63 Burial Pits

Location:

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency:

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

VOLUME 7 of 10 (Continued)

1995

Sverdrup Environmental, Inc. Author(s):

Recipient: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: September, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015528-015547

N. Title: Letter - Subject: EPA's Comments on Preliminary Assessment Site Investigations Group

No. V Work Plan and Chemical Data Acquisition Plan at Longhorn Army Ammunition

Plant, Karnack, Texas

Group(s):

Site($s\bar{}$):

LHAAP-50 Former Waste Disposal Facility

LHAAP-52 Magazine Washout Area

LHAAP-17 No. 2 Flashing Area / Burning Ground LHAAP-60 Former Storage Building 411 and 714

LHAAP-63 Burial Pits

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Author(s): Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Recipient:

September 5, 1995 Date: Bate Stamp: 015548-015550

O. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmittal of Record of Decision for No Further Action at LHAAP 13

& 14 for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient:

Date: September 6, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015551

Ρ. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Transmittal of Record of Decision for No Further Action at LHAAP 13

& 14 for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s):

Site($s\bar{}$):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

VOLUME 7 of 10 (Continued)

1995

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: September 6, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015552

Q. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmittal of Record of Decision for No Further Action at LHAAP 13

& 14 for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): 3

Site(s): LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: September 6, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015553

R. Title: Letter -Subject: EPA's Comments on DERPMIS / RMIS Resolution Document for

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Group(s): All Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: September 6, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015554-015571

S. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Review Comments on Preliminary Assessment Site Investigations Group

No. 5 Work Plan and Draft Chemical Data Acquisition Plan at Longhorn Army

Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-50 Former Waste Disposal Facility

LHAAP-52 Magazine Washout Area

LHAAP-60 Former Storage Building 411 and 714

LHAAP-63 Burial Pits

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Author(s): Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Recipient: Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Date: September 7, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015572-015600

VOLUME 7 of 10 (Continued)

1995

T Title: Minutes - Technical Review Committee, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Attach (s) Executive Summary

Site(s): General

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Company: Environmental Protection Agency

Author(s): Mr. David Tolbert, Project Manager, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Recipient: Jonna Polk, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: September 12, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015601-015605

U. Title: Letter - Subject: Response to EPA's & TNRCC's Comments of Draft Work Plan for

Phase III of Interim Remedial Action at Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army

Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineer

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Date: September 12, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015606-015638

V. Title: <u>Letter</u> - Subject: Response to EPA's & TNRCC's Comments of Draft Work Plan For

Phase III of Interim Remedial Action at Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army

Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineer

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Date: September 12, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015639-015671

W. Title: Letter - Subject: Response to EPA's & TNRCC's Comments of Draft Work Plan For

Phase III of Interim Remedial Action at Burning Ground No. 3 Longhorn Army

Ammunition Plant

Group(s): Early Interim Action At Burning Ground No. 3

Site(s): LHAAP-18 & LHAAP-24 Burning Ground / Washout Pond & Unlined Evaporation

Pond

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

VOLUME 7 of 10 (Continued)

1995

Agency: U. S. Army Corps Of Engineer

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Date: September 12, 1995

Bate Stamp 015672

X. Memorandum For Commander - Subject: Review of Record of Decision for No Further Title:

Action at LHAAP 13 & 14 for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Group(s):

Site(s):

LHAAP-13 Suspected TNT Burial Between Active Landfill And Old Landfill

LHAAP-14 Area 54 Burial Ground

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Jack M. Heller, Ph. D. Acting Program Manager, Health Risk Assessment and Risk

Communication

Jonna Polk, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District Recipient:

Date: **September 20, 1995** Bate Stamp: 015673-015674

Y. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmittal of Draft Project Work Plans Interim Remedial Action at

Landfill Sites 12 and 16,

Landfill Caps Interim Action Group(s): Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army Author(s):

Lisa Marie Price, Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Texas Enforcement Recipient:

Date: **September 21, 1995**

Bate Stamp: 015675

Z. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmittal of Draft Project Work Plans Interim Remedial Action at

Landfill Sites 12 and 16

Group(s): **Landfill Caps Interim Action** Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant Agency:

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Michael A. Moore, RI / FS II Unit, Superfund Investigation Section

Date: **September 21, 1995**

VOLUME 8 of 10

1995

A. Title: Letter - Subject: Transmittal of Draft Project Work Plans Interim Remedial Action at

Landfill Sites 12 and 16

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Darrell W. Chinn, Captain, U.S. Army

Recipient: Mr. H. L. Jones, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission

Date: September 21, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015677

B. Title: Memorandum for Commander- Subject: Review of the Group 5 Draft Work Plan for

Preliminary Assessment Site Investigations for Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant,

Karnack, Texas, Prepared by Sverdrup Environmental, August 1995

Group(s):

Site(s): LHAAP-50 Former Waste Disposal Facility

LHAAP-52 Magazine Washout Area

LHAAP-60 Former Storage Building 411 and 714

LHAAP-63 Burial Pits

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: Department Of The Army, Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant

Author(s): Jack M. Heller, Ph. D., Acting Program Manager, Health Risk Assessment and Risk

Communication

Recipient: Jonna Polk, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

Date: September 27, 1995

Bate Stamp: 015678

C. Title: Record of Decision - For Early Interim Remedial Action at Landfill Sites 12 and 16,

Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Karnack, Texas

Group(s): Landfill Caps Interim Action Site(s): LHAAP-12 Active Landfill

LHAAP-16 Old Landfill

Location: Longhorn Army Ammunition Plant, Marshall, Texas

Agency: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Author(s): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Recipient: U.S. Public

Date: September 27, 1995 Bate Stamp: 015679-015857